How ASRS employees deliver service with

PROFESSIONALISM

• Friendly, respectful and courteous
• Proactive and responsive
• Good communicator / active listener
• Trusted contributor / teammate
• Personally accountable
• Subject matter expert
• Critical thinker
• Honest, fair, non-judgmental
• Adaptable to change
• Adheres to the Code of Conduct

IMPROVEMENT

• Promotes new ideas
• Enhances morale
• Enhances outcomes and performance
• Improves relationships
• Solves problems
• Increases efficiency, effectiveness or reduces costs

RESULTS

• Completes projects
• Attains individual accomplishments
• Meets goals and objectives
• Satisfies customers
• Produces quality work products
• Manages risks successfully

DIVERSITY

• Encourages the free flow of ideas and opinions
• Treats all people with dignity and respect
• Works effectively with dissimilar individuals
• Recognizes and promotes new skills in others

EXCELLENCE

• Surpasses expectations
• Engenders a positive public image
• Celebrates /rewards accomplishments and contributions of others
• Embraces change in a manner which inspires others
• Promotes teamwork /collaboration through communication
• Accepts personal responsibility and challenges with enthusiasm

“We promote, strive for and expect individuals, teams, and divisions to possess professional qualities and skills to lead the organization”

“We appreciate individuals, teams or divisions who drive the agency forward with new, innovative ideas and solutions”

“We recognize that utilizing different talents, strengths and points of view, strengthens the agency and helps propel outcomes greater than the sum of individual contributor”

“We celebrate individuals, teams and divisions who exceed expectations and deliver service with a PRIDE that permeates the organization”
Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02(F), notice is hereby given to the Trustees of the Arizona State Retirement System (ASRS) Investment Committee (IC) and to the general public that the ASRS IC will hold a telephonic meeting open to the public on Monday, June 15, 2020, beginning at 1:30 p.m. In light of the Arizona Department of Health Services’ recommendation to cancel or postpone mass gatherings of 10 or more people, and to help prevent community spread of COVID-19, the meeting will be audience free. **Trustees of the Committee and the public may attend by telephone conference call at 877-820-7831, using Participant Passcode: 682491#**.

This is a regularly scheduled meeting of the IC; however, due to possible attendance by other ASRS Board Trustees, this meeting may technically become a meeting of the Board or one of its Committees. Actions taken will be consistent with IC governance procedures. Actions requiring Board authority will be presented to the full Board for final decision.

The Chair may take public comment during any agenda item.

The Agenda for the meeting is as follows:

1. Call to Order; Roll Call; Opening Remarks (Estimated time 4 minutes) ............ Mr. Michael Lofton Chair, Investment Committee

2. Approval of the Minutes of the March 23, 2020 Public Meeting of the ASRS IC (Action item; estimated time 1 minute) .............................................................. Mr. Michael Lofton

3. Presentation, Discussion, and Appropriate Action Regarding Portfolio Positioning, House Views and General Discussion (Informational and discussion item; estimated time 30 minutes)
   ................................................................................................................................. Mr. Karl Polen Chief Investment Officer (CIO), ASRS
   ................................................................................................................................. Mr. Al Alaimo Sr. Portfolio Manager of Fixed Income, Cash, and Liquid Alternatives, ASRS
   ................................................................................................................................. Mr. Samer Ghaddar Sr. Portfolio Manager of Equities, ASRS
   ................................................................................................................................. Mr. Taylor Mammen Sr. Managing Director, Director of Institutional Advisory Services, RCLCO
Objective:
The CIO and portfolio managers of ASRS and Mr. Taylor Mammen of RCLCO will present their investment views and the committee will discuss the current economic and investment environment. No action is expected on this item, but the IC may express views or offer guidance on the matters discussed.

4. Presentation, Discussion, and Appropriate Action Regarding Investment Performance (Informational and discussion item; estimated time 30 minutes) .................................................. Mr. Karl Polen
......................................................................................................................... Mr. Al Alaimo
......................................................................................................................... Mr. Samer Ghaddar
......................................................................................................................... Mr. Taylor Mammen

Objective:
The CIO and portfolio managers of ASRS and Mr. Mammen of RCLCO will report on investment performance and performance attribution in each of the portfolios. The discussion will include returns and holdings based performance analysis and a review of current strategies for the portfolios. No action is expected on this item, but the IC may express views or offer guidance on the matters discussed.

5. Presentation, Discussion, and Appropriate Action Regarding the Equities Implementation Plan and Private Equity Liquidity Compliance (Informational and discussion item; estimated time 20 minutes) .......................................................................................................................... Mr. Samer Ghaddar
.......................................................................................................................... Mr. Cole Smith
.......................................................................................................................... Mr. Michael Copeland

Objective:
ASRS portfolio managers will update the committee on the Equities Implementation Plan and Private Equity Liquidity Compliance. No action is expected on this item, but the IC may express views or offer guidance on the matters discussed.

6. Presentation, Discussion, and Appropriate Action Regarding Independent Reporting, Monitoring and Oversight (Informational and discussion item; estimated time 20 minutes) .... Mr. Allan Martin
Partner, NEPC

Objective:
NEPC will present total fund performance results for the period ending March 31, 2020 and will report on their monitoring of IMD activities. No action is expected on this item, but the IC may express views or offer guidance on the matters discussed.

7. Presentation, Discussion, and Appropriate Action Regarding Risk Management (Informational and discussion item; estimated time 10 minutes) ................................................................. Mr. Karl Polen

Objective:
The CIO will present the MSCI risk management report. No action is expected on this item, but the IC may express views or offer guidance on the matters discussed.
8. Presentation, Discussion, and Appropriate Action Regarding Compliance (Informational and discussion item; estimated time 10 minutes) ........................................................... Ms. Kerry White
   Assistant Chief Investment Officer for Reporting, Compliance & Administration
   
   **Objective:**
   The Assistant CIO will report on compliance activities. *No action is expected on this item, but the IC may express views or offer guidance on the matters discussed.*

9. Request for Future Agenda Items (Discussion item; estimated time 5 minutes). Mr. Michael Lofton .......................................................... Mr. Karl Polen

10. Call to the Public .................................................................................................. Mr. Michael Lofton
   
   Those wishing to address the ASRS IC will be requested to do so telephonically. Trustees of the Committee are prohibited by A.R.S. § 38-431.01(H) from discussing or taking legal action on matters raised during an open call to the public unless the matters are properly noticed for discussion and legal action. As a result of public comment, the IC may direct staff to study and/or reschedule the matter for discussion and decision at a later date.

11. The next ASRS Investment Committee Meeting is scheduled for Monday, September 21, 2020 at 1:30 p.m.

12. Adjournment of the ASRS IC Meeting.

A copy of the agenda background material provided to IC Trustees (with the exception of material relating to possible executive sessions) is available for public inspection at the ASRS offices located at 3300 North Central Avenue, 14th Floor, Phoenix, Arizona, and 4400 East Broadway Boulevard, Suite 200, Tucson, Arizona. The agenda is subject to revision up to 24 hours prior to meeting. These materials are also available on the ASRS website [https://www.azasrs.gov/content/board-and-committee-meetings](https://www.azasrs.gov/content/board-and-committee-meetings) approximately 48 hours prior to the meeting.

Persons with disabilities may request alternate formats of this document by contacting Tracy Darmer, ADA Coordinator at (602) 240-5378 in Phoenix, at (520) 239-3100, ext. 5378 in Tucson or 1-800-621-3778, ext. 5378 outside metro Phoenix or Tucson. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodations.
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Agenda Item #2
MINUTES

PUBLIC MEETING
OF THE ARIZONA STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM INVESTMENT COMMITTEE

March 23, 2020
1:30 p.m.

The Arizona State Retirement System (ASRS) Investment Committee (IC) met telephonically.

Mr. Michael Lofton, Chair of the IC, called the meeting to order at 1:31 p.m.

1. Call to Order; Roll Call; Opening Remarks

Present: Mr. Michael Lofton, Chair – via teleconference
        Mr. Kevin McCarthy, Vice-chair – via teleconference
        Mr. Clark Partridge – via teleconference

A quorum of the IC was present for the purpose of conducting business.

2. Approval of the Minutes of the December 16, 2019 Public Meeting of the ASRS IC

Motion: Mr. Kevin McCarthy moved to approve the minutes of the December 16, 2019 public IC meeting. Mr. Clark Partridge seconded the motion.

By a roll call vote of 3 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, 0 excused and 0 vacancies, the motion was approved. The trustee votes were as follows:

        Mr. Michael Lofton – approved
        Mr. Kevin McCarthy – approved
        Mr. Clark Partridge – approved

3. Presentation, Discussion, and Appropriate Action Regarding Portfolio Positioning, House Views and General Discussion

Mr. Karl Polen, Chief Investment Officer (CIO), presented the following:
   • Coronavirus impacts have created a high likelihood of a recession and markets have responded with unprecedentedly rapid sell off in stocks and increase in volatility.
• Severe pressure on liquidity has emerged with some financial firms in distress from calls on repo lines.
• Economic and market recovery will hinge on progress in dealing with the virus, particularly in testing and data analysis so that a plan for economic remobilization can be developed.
• Portfolio positioning as of March 20 was 6% underweight in equities, balanced by overweights in interest rate sensitive, credit, and real estate. However, Mr. Polen noted that target positions will adjust on April 1 and with that adjustment, the equities underweight would only be about 3%.

Mr. Samer Ghaddar, Sr. Portfolio Manager of Equities, reviewed equity markets, noting the following:
• Equity markets remain under pressure with markets still priced to trailing earnings.
• Markets are not cheap, with values only returning to historic levels on trailing and forward P/E and still slightly expensive on cyclically adjusted P/E.

Mr. Micheal Copeland, Assistant Portfolio Manager of Real Estate, reviewed real estate and private equity markets, noting the following.
• Private equity markets have seen a disruption in deal closings because of a near term withdrawal of credit but expect deals to pick up as distress situations emerge.
• Energy markets are facing substantial distress because of price changes resulting from Saudi production increases.
• Real estate will see near term impacts from reduced rent collections and new leasing.

Mr. Al Alaimo, Sr. Portfolio Manager of Fixed Income, reviewed credit and core bond markets, noting the following:
• There is a robust opportunity to invest in credit in both new loans at new market pricing and in distressed situations.
• Fed action has caused interest rates on the 10-year to hit all-time lows but there has been unusual volatility in certain parts of the credit market in light of liquidity pressures.

4. Presentation, Discussion, and Appropriate Action Regarding Investment Performance

Mr. Polen presented interim fiscal year-to-date noting that fund assets had declined to $37 billion and estimated fiscal year-to-date returns was approximately -7.4%, both as of March 20.

The portfolio managers presented performance results for periods ending December 31, 2019.

Mr. Ghaddar reported that public equity performance of 25.5%, 11.7% and 8.4% underperformed benchmarks by 1.2%, .7% and .6% for the one-, three-, and five-year periods respectively. The underperformance is driven primarily from index selection and factor levers both of which were adjusted in early 2020 and have improved performance since then.

Mr. Copeland reported private equity performance of 9.1%, 13.8% and 11.6%, which outperformed benchmarks by 8.6%, 4.7%, and 2.9% for the one-, three-, and five-year periods, respectively. He further reported that real estate returns of 6.2%, 8.3%, and 9.8% outperformed benchmarks by 2.1%, 2.6%, and 2.1% for the one-, three-, and five-year periods, respectively.

Mr. Alaimo reported credit returns of 8.4%, 9.6%, and 8.0%, which outperformed benchmark by 2.7%, 2.6%, and 2.1% for the one-, three-, and five-year periods, respectively. Core bonds returns of 8.6%, 4.1%, and 3.3% underperformed benchmark by 8bp for the one-year periods but outperformed by 2bp and 25bp for the three- and five-year periods.
5. Presentation, Discussion, and Appropriate Action Regarding the Real Estate Implementation Plan

Mr. Taylor Mammen, Sr. Managing Director and Director of Institutional Advisory Services, RCLCO, and Mr. Jomar Ereso, Director of Asset Management, RCLCO, presented the real estate implementation plan, noting the following:

- The implementation plan was prepared in late 2019 and initially presented to ASRS in January. The plan was recalibrated in light of recent events by deferring indefinitely approximately $2 billion in initiatives previously identified.
- Starting in February, RCLCO began implementing stricter guidelines for underwriting individual property acquisitions and as market conditions become more apparent, approximately $300 million in individual transactions were cancelled or put on hold.
- The implementation plan does, however, contemplate continuing existing relationships, which have adequate unfunded commitments to pursue attractive opportunities as they arise and allows for limited new investments with strategic partners.
- The real estate program is designed to be overweight apartments and other residential strategies compared to the ODCE index and underweight to office and retail strategies.
- The target excess return is 1.5% per year with 2.7% of tracking error.

6. Presentation, Discussion, and Appropriate Action Regarding Independent Reporting, Monitoring and Oversight

Mr. Allan Martin, Partner, NEPC, reported that for periods ending December 31, 2019, total fund returns of 15.6%, 10.1%, 7.9%, and 9.2% exceeded benchmark by .9%, 1.8%, 1.6%, and 1.2% for the one-, three-, five-, and ten- year periods respectively. These returns place ASRS in the 74th, 17th, 11th, and 11th percentile among large public pension plans for the same time periods. He further noted that NEPC monitors ASRS asset class meetings and observed no instances of non-compliance with ASRS procedures or portfolio limitations.

7. Presentation, Discussion, and Appropriate Action Regarding Risk Management

Mr. Polen presented the risk management report prepared by MSCI noting that the measured risk in the actual portfolio is in line with the modeled risk in the SAA portfolio.

8. Presentation, Discussion, and Appropriate Action Regarding Compliance

Ms. Kerry White, Assistant Chief Investment Officer for Reporting, Compliance & Administration presented the compliance report noting that there were no instances of non-compliance for the reported period.

9. Request for Future Agenda Items

No requests for future agenda items were made.

10. Call to the Public

No members of the public requested to speak.

11. The next ASRS Investment Committee Meeting is scheduled for Monday, June 15, 2020 at 1:30 p.m.
Mr. Lofton noted the next IC meeting is scheduled for Monday, June 15, 2020 at 1:30 p.m.

12. Adjournment of the ASRS IC Meeting.

**Motion:** Mr. Clark Partridge moved to adjourn the meeting at 3:31 p.m. Mr. Kevin McCarthy seconded the motion.

Mr. Michael Lofton adjourned the meeting.

Respectfully submitted by:

Joyce Williams/Karl Polen  
Investment Committee Administrator/Chief Investment Officer  
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We are positioned neutrally with all positions within 1% of target
There is a high level of uncertainty on future economic direction
Highly accommodative fiscal and monetary policies have supported asset prices
Current Positioning 5/29/2020

### Total Fund Positioning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>NAV w/ Notional ($ mm)</th>
<th>NAV Exposure (%)</th>
<th>Target (%)</th>
<th>Active Weight (%)</th>
<th>Active Weight ($ mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>382.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>382.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds</td>
<td>3751.5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>-406.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit</td>
<td>8416.5</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>421.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>20860.7</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>6554.4</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-361.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Fund</strong></td>
<td><strong>39976.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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US Macro: GDP and Unemployment

- US GDP contraction is largest in recent history
  - US GDP growth projected to contract by ~5.5% in 2020, compared to expansion of 2.2% projected in 2019
  - However, growth rates are expected to accelerate in 2021 to ~4% (average projections)
  - The unprecedented fiscal stimulus of ~$2.2 trillion, as well as the Fed’s quantitative easing, QE5, is feeding into the system
  - These stimulus policies are creating a stabilization across assets markets.
- Unemployment rate in the US is forecasted at 11% for 2020 and 8.1% in 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>US Macro Forecasts</th>
<th>GDP Numbers (Growth % y/y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US GDP Economic Forecast (QoQ)</td>
<td>-6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Household Consum.</td>
<td>-6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Government Spend</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Investment Econo.</td>
<td>-9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Exports Economic</td>
<td>-7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Imports Economic</td>
<td>-9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Unemployment Rate Forecast</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Industrial Prod.</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Government Debt</td>
<td>96.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US CPI Economic Forecast (YoY)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Core PCE Economic Forecast</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fed’s balance sheet shows the unprecedented magnitude of the latest monetary policy implementation:
US Macro: Yield Curve

- Yield curve starting to steepen after fiscal and monetary stimulus programs:
Global Macro

Global GDP growth projected to reach -3% in 2020, compared to projections of +3% in 2019 (IMF projections)
- This is mainly driven by the shock of the COVID-19 pandemic
- Growth is projected to rebound to a 5% growth rate in 2021

European Union GDP growth to reach -7% in 2020, and projected to rebound to a 5% growth rate in 2021

Emerging Markets GDP growth to reach 0.2% in 2020, and projected to rebound to a 5.5% growth rate in 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Macro Forecasts</th>
<th>GDP Numbers (Growth %oya)</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021E</th>
<th>2022E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European Union GDP Economic Forecast</td>
<td>-7.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union Unemployment Rate</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union CPI Economic Forecast</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EU Macro Forecasts</th>
<th>GDP Numbers (Growth %oya)</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021E</th>
<th>2022E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World GDP Economic Forecast (A)</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emerging Markets Macro Forecasts</th>
<th>GDP Numbers (Growth %oya)</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021E</th>
<th>2022E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Economies GDP Economic Forecast</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Economies Unemployment</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Economies CPI Economic Forecast</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Global Macro: Stimulus Response by Country

- Among the G20, the United States has the highest direct revenue and expenditure measures\(^1\) as percent of GDP.
- The larger the stimulus, the faster the recovery:

\(^1\) Source: Deutsche Bank Global Research
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Current Positioning

We have positioned our public equity portfolio to be modestly underweight, which is currently -0.4% in aggregate:

- We are at interim SAA target weight in domestic equities:
  - 0.6% underweight in US LC, 0.3% overweight in US MC & 0.3% overweight in US SC.
- We are 0.4% underweight in international equities:
  - 0.3% underweight in World ex-US LC, equalweight in World ex-US SC & and 0.1% underweight Emerging Markets.
Equity Markets: Valuations

- We believe that the market has priced in a lot of positive news:
  - S&P is down -7% YTD, while earnings per share are projected to be down by ~30% for 2020
  - Market is discounting forward growth and quick recovery in earnings
    - Consensus EPS is projected to increase by ~40% in 2021
  - Current S&P 500 trailing PE ratio stands at 20.5x, while the 10-year yield is at an all time low
  - Lower yield would create a support mechanism for market valuations:

![S&P PE vs 10 Yr Yield (lhs)](image)
Equity Markets: Outlook

- We believe that the risk aversion witnessed in March 2020 is behind us and markets will trail back to normality in the coming quarters.

- Given the size of the fiscal and monetary stimuli and the lower volatility as measured by the VIX, we are constructive on equity markets in general - and the United States, in particular - and believe that the asset class will outperform in the coming 9-12 months.

- However, risks to the market recovery are a second wave of COVID-19 and difficulties with the re-opening of the global economy.

![S&P 500 Volatility normalization chart](chart.png)
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As with the macro environment as well as the public markets, COVID-19 has had significant impacts on Private Equity

- Transactions have declined precipitously; which is similar in nature with what occurred during the 2009 Global Financial Crisis
- Multiples were at or close to cyclical highs but the severity and duration of a contraction in multiples is unclear
- Leverage is high with 75% of deals completed in 2019 at $ > 6 \times$
  - Similar to prior crises, high leverage combined with severe drops in revenue/cash flow creates opportunities
- Buyout dry powder has steadily risen as the asset class has also grown and successful managers have raised ever increasing fund sizes
- Given the long-dated nature of funds we do not attempt to time cycles but are paying close attention to the partners we select and their operational capabilities
Private Equity Fund Raising

Historical Fundraising

Source: Preqin
Private Equity Average Fund Raising Time
Private Equity Leverage

Deals with debt levels higher than six times EBITDA rose to more than 75% of total deal volume

- 2015: Leverage <6x = 12%, Leverage >=6x = 38%, Leverage >=7x = 50%
- 2016: Leverage <6x = 20%, Leverage >=6x = 35%, Leverage >=7x = 44%
- 2017: Leverage <6x = 29%, Leverage >=6x = 36%, Leverage >=7x = 36%
- 2018: Leverage <6x = 28%, Leverage >=6x = 33%, Leverage >=7x = 39%
- 2019: Leverage <6x = 26%, Leverage >=6x = 36%, Leverage >=7x = 37%

Source: Thomson LPC, Bain & Company Global Private Equity Report 2020
Private Equity Exits

Number and Value of Deals

Source: Preethi
Private Equity Dry Powder

[Graph showing data on Dry Powder across different stages and dates with source: Preplin]
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Commentary

As of May 27, 2020, we had an 21.0% allocation to the Credit asset class versus an SAA target of 20.0%. Our commitments to partnerships in the Credit asset class, net of partnerships in liquidation (or pending liquidation), represent approximately 24.3% of the total fund.

With the Credit asset class, we are allocated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>% of Total Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private Debt</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distressed Debt</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Credit</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Credit</strong></td>
<td><strong>21.0%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The benchmark for the Credit asset class is the S&P/LSTA Leveraged Loan Index plus 250 bps (per annum). We are currently estimating that the Credit asset class will generate a return in the March 31 quarter of -2.5% vs. a benchmark return of -12.3%. However, as we have found in past selloffs of risky assets, our overall Credit portfolio tends to exhibit much more stable performance than the benchmark. Through May 27th, the benchmark for the asset class has posted a very strong recovery in the June 30 quarter with a return of approximately 8.25%. As a result, following substantial outperformance in the march 31 quarter, we expect the Credit asset class to substantially underperform the benchmark return in the current quarter.
For Credit, our house view is the following:

- There are compelling investment opportunities to exceed the performance of the Credit asset class benchmark over time in Private Debt, Distressed Debt and Other Credit. These opportunities are almost exclusively in private rather than public markets or are in areas of the market, such as distressed debt, which typically require investments in locked-up vehicles with limited liquidity.

- The recent sell-off in the credit and equities markets related to the economic disruption caused by COVID-19 has created the most attractive environment for private credit investment opportunities in years.

- We generally do not believe that public credit markets (such high yield bonds, leveraged loans and asset-backed securities) offer as attractive investment opportunities in comparison to the private markets as public market opportunities will likely not meet the return of the Credit asset class benchmark over an extended, multi-year period of time. Moreover, in contrast to the private markets, the public credit markets do not provide investors with the opportunity for full due diligence and bespoke tailoring of deal terms.
Credit Asset Class Strategy & Industry Exposure as of March 31, 2020
Credit Asset Class Geographic & Currency Exposure as of March 31, 2020

Geographic Exposure

Currency Exposure

June 15, 2020
Private Debt

- We believe Private Debt asset offers the most attractive opportunity in the fixed income markets with double-digit yields available for investors willing to accept illiquidity, and when appropriate, employ leverage. Private Debt offers significantly higher expected returns than the public credit markets with lower volatility. We are currently estimating that Private Debt will generate a return in the March 31 quarter of -0.9% vs. a benchmark return of -12.3%.

- The market opportunity is principally driven by regulatory constraints that make it unattractive for banks to hold illiquid loans or other debt of below investment-grade credit quality.

- The economic disruption from COVID-19 will undoubtedly lead to an increase in bankruptcies and restructurings of investments in Private Debt made prior to the pandemic. However, the vast majority of ASRS’s investments are senior secured and should hold up relatively well. As of March 31, only 4% of the Private Debt portfolio has experienced an event of default. We believe potential write downs are mostly reflected in the March 31 quarter’s performance.

- Meanwhile, the market for new private debt offerings is significantly more attractive with expected returns from higher spreads and fees increasing by 200-400 bps depending on the strategy with lower deal leverage and better covenants.
Private Debt Strategy & Security Exposure as of March 31, 2020

Strategy Exposure

Security Exposure
Private Debt Fixed/Floating Exposure & Event of Default/Payment Default as of March 31, 2020

Fixed / Floating Exposure

Event of Default / Payment Default During Life of Investment

Please note: the table shows % of the deals underwritten in each quarter that have experienced a default.
Private Debt Industry & Geographic Exposure as of March 31, 2020
Private Debt Yield Components & Underwritten LTV % as of March 31, 2020

Yield Components of Transactions Underwritten – by Quarter

Underwritten LTV % – Weighted Average by Quarter
Private Debt Return/Unit of Leverage & Financial Maintenance Covenants as of March 31, 2020
Distressed Debt as of March 31, 2020

- The Distressed Debt environment is the most attractive it has been since the Global Financial Crisis in 2008-2009 as the economic disruption from COVID-19 will lead to a substantial increase in bankruptcies and restructurings.

- Some investments made prior to the pandemic face a challenging economic environment or have been repriced with higher required returns by the financial markets. However, we believe potential write downs are mostly reflected in the March 31 quarter’s performance. We are currently estimating that Distressed Debt will generate a return in the March 31 quarter of -8.0% vs. a benchmark return of -12.3%.

- We have three fund-of-one partnerships in Europe which are actively investing in distressed opportunities. One partnership is targeting distressed corporate loans while the other two are targeting pools of small non-performing loans (“NPLs”). In addition, we are invested in a credit fund that opportunistically invests in U.S. and European distressed debt, both corporate and structured credit, as opportunities arise.
Distressed Debt Strategy & Industry Exposure as of March 31, 2020

**Strategy Exposure**
- U.S. Distressed Corporate Debt: 9%
- U.S. Distressed Structured Debt: 14%
- European Distressed Corporate: 39%
- European MGP's: 47%

**Industry Exposure**
- Technology Hardware & Equipment: 1%
- Commercial & Professional Services: 1%
- Telecommunications Services: 1%
- Transportation: 1%
- Utilities: 2%
- Media & Entertainment: 2%
- Healthcare & Biotechnology: 2%
- Indirect: 1%
- Capital Goods: 1%
- Real Estate: 1%
- Building: 1%
- Others 36%
Distressed Debt Geographic Exposure as of March 31, 2020

- United States: 22%
- United Kingdom: 19%
- Spain: 18%
- Ireland: 11%
- Germany: 8%
- France: 4%
- Portugal: 2%
- Italy: 4%
- Netherlands: 1%
- Luxembourg: 1%
- Austria: 1%
- Groupings < 1% of total: 9%

Geographic Exposure Pie Chart
We believe that select opportunities exist to achieve attractive returns in Other Credit, a sub-asset class which we characterize as credit opportunities that are not encompassed in Private Debt, Distressed Debt or High Yield, and offer an expected return which will likely meet or exceed the Credit asset class benchmark. We are currently estimating that Other Credit will generate a return in the March 31 quarter of -3.7% vs. a benchmark return of -12.3%.

Currently, our Other Credit strategies include partnerships that invest in niche market opportunities that we believe are attractive including aircraft leasing, equipment leasing, life settlements, infrastructure loans, litigation finance and risk sharing transactions.

The economic disruption from COVID-19, the repricing of risk in the credit markets, and the impaired liquidity and exit of some market participants (such as hedge funds) have meaningfully increased the expected return on new investments in Other Credit.

That being said, some investments made prior to the pandemic such as aircraft leasing face a very tough economic environment. Aircraft leasing is a relatively small percentage of Other Credit. Meanwhile, other strategies such as life settlements and litigation finance, which represent larger investments for Other Credit, will generally be immune to the adverse economic environment.
Other Credit Strategy & Geography Exposure as of March 31, 2020

**Strategy Exposure**

- Litigation Finance 27%
- Life Settlements 27%
- Aircraft Leasing 23%
- Real Estate 15%
- Collateralized Debt Obligations (CDOs) 10%
- Infrastructure 10%

**Geography Exposure**

- United States 70%
- Brazil 6%
- United Kingdom 1%
- Groupings <1% of total 1%
- France 2%
- Other 24%
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As of May 27, 2020, we are underweight Interest Rate Sensitive Fixed Income with an allocation of 9.4% versus a 10.4% Interim SAA target. In the past few months, we have reduced our weighting in the asset class to raise cash to meet the overall funding needs (benefit payments and capital calls) of the total fund.

Since the beginning of 2020, interest rates have plunged due to the adverse global economic impact caused by the outbreak of the COVID-19 virus and related policy responses (ex. lockdowns). Furthermore, in response to the severe economic impact to the U.S. economy, the Federal Reserve has essentially renewed the playbook it employed following the 2008-2009 Global Financial Crisis: lowering its target for short-term interest rates to essentially zero, launching quantitative easing to purchase U.S. Treasury bonds and agency mortgage-backed securities, and adding a number of programs to support the credit markets.

Long-term U.S. treasury rates are close to their lowest level ever with the 10 year U.S. Treasury bond yielding only 0.66%. The Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index, the benchmark for Interest Rate Sensitive Fixed Income, is now yielding only 1.4% vs. 2.3% at the beginning of 2020 and 2.9% one year ago.

With this low yield for the benchmark, we do not expect attractive returns in the asset class going forward relative to the total fund’s target return. However, we expect to maintain a meaningful investment in the asset class to use as a source of liquidity to meet the total fund’s needs and to serve as a counter-balance to potential sell-offs in risky assets such as equities.
Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate Yield-to-Worst
German 10 Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Mid Price</th>
<th>High on 11/08/18</th>
<th>High on 11/08/18</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Low on 03/09/20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9128282Q</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>3.238</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.192</td>
<td>0.543</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The COVID-19 outbreak has produced a global economic shock, significantly distressing the U.S. economy and real estate markets.

The U.S. has experienced record claims for unemployment insurance; however, many of these job losses are likely temporary. We believe there will be a relatively robust initial recovery as many jobs lost were merely deferred, but given the magnitude of the disruption, it will likely take some time to regain all of the lost ground—reemerging from this crisis is not going to be an “event,” rather it will be a “process”—and we will likely see elevated unemployment rates for the balance of 2020 and well into 2021. RCLCO’s “base line” economic scenario is the “Fall Swoosh” (see subsequent page), in which GDP again achieves Q4 2019 levels by Q2 2022.

The effects on real estate vary by property type, with the hospitality and retail sectors experiencing the most severe and immediate impact.

The impact on office product is uncertain, but a substantial amount of tenants are requesting rent relief and there likely will be declining occupancy and rent growth in the coming months.

Multifamily and industrial will likely experience short-term pain and softening market fundamentals, although declining savings are expected to increase demand for rentership and consumer preferences for e-commerce are expected to increase demand for industrial warehouse product.

There has been, and will continue to be, a steep drop in transactions as investors hold off on acquisitions until there is more clarity in the market. Debt capital markets are currently relatively more liquid for stabilized industrial or multifamily, and much less so for other property types and/or for construction. Despite record low reference rates, spreads widened in March and April, but may now be coming down to pre-COVID levels.
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RCLCO Possible Recovery Scenarios

Currently assuming the “Fall Swoosh” as the baseline assumption
REITs have declined across nearly all sectors YTD as well as underperformed the S&P 500.

*Data updated as of April 16, 2020
Source: NAREIT, CoStar & Weil Feldstein
Variation in Rent Collections

- Public market data reflects what we see in private portfolios:
  - Residential, office, and industrial have largely outperformed initially dire expectations, while (no surprise) retail and hospitality are lagging.
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NCREIF Total Returns by Asset Type

*Data available through Q4 2019

Source: National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries (NCREIF)
Housing Starts

Note: Single-Family Housing Starts include single-family detached and single-family attached (townhomes)
Source: Moody’s Analytics
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The multifamily industry is dealing with tenants who have lost their income and are requesting rent relief. The duration of the crisis will determine the effects on operating performance, as it will impact the degree to which tenants can meet their monthly rent payments and the extent of downward pressure on effective rents in the coming months. While tenant traffic will likely slow, turnover may be lighter in stabilized properties.

Rent collections have exceeded expectations: 90.8% of professionally managed apartment households made a full or partial rent payment by May 20 (compares to 93.0% from the same period in 2019).
Office

- Office rents are expected to decline and vacancy is projected to increase due to COVID-19. According to a survey conducted by PwC in early April, a substantial percentage of tenants are requesting rent relief; however, actual results suggest rent collections have been resilient.

- Deliveries have remained low over the past decade, and are projected to drop through the end of 2020 as construction and new developments are put on hold. The lack of new supply is expected to moderate downward pressure on rents. Operating expenses and capital expenditures will likely increase as safety protocols and HVAC system improvements are implemented.

![Office Rent and Deliveries](chart)

![Are Tenants Seeking Rent Relief?](bar_chart)

Source: PwC CoStar
Retail

- Retail has been one of the industries most immediately and severely impacted by COVID-19, though pain is not evenly felt.

- Overall retail sales were down 8.7% in March, ranging from -51% for apparel to +19% for groceries. We anticipate discretionary retail sales to remain subdued during the recession and recovery, and for this event to accelerate consumer adoption of e-commerce—hurting “stick and brick” retail.

![Change in revenue by store type, March 2019 vs. March 2020, adjusted for inflation](source: U.S. Department of Commerce)
Industrial

- Industrial has been among the more resilient property types due to significantly expanded use of e-commerce during the COVID-19 crisis. Though some tenants have been negatively impacted by decreases in demand or disruptions to the supply chain, others are expanding their footprints and keeping occupancies high.

- These trends likely continue post-COVID as consumer preferences continue to favor e-commerce and businesses rethink (and potentially onshore) their inventory and supply chains.

- Although some industrial tenants are seeking rent relief, the number is minimal according to a survey by PwC.

![Chart showing e-commerce sales as a percentage of total retail sales from January 2007 to October 2018.](source: PwC; U.S. Census Bureau)
Hotels

- The hotel industry has been the most severely impacted by COVID-19, as hotels are on pace to lose $3.5B in revenue per week due to the stay-at-home mandates.

- 70% of direct hotel employees have been laid off or furloughed, equating to a loss of $2.4B in earnings per week.

- National occupancy levels dropped to 21% as of April 11, 2020, and are projected to remain at or below 30% in the near-term.

*Data as of April 11, 2020

Source: STR, American Hotel and Lodging Industry
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Cap Rate Trends
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ASRS Returns

Source: NEPC data, ASRS graphics
Asset Class One Year Returns

One Year Returns for indicated end dates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACWI IMI</td>
<td>-8.6</td>
<td>26.03</td>
<td>13.18</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>25.89</td>
<td>-1.83</td>
<td>-34.3</td>
<td>16.64</td>
<td>29.27</td>
<td>-12.37</td>
<td>26.74</td>
<td>17.59</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>17.84</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>-2.04</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P 500</td>
<td>-10.55</td>
<td>-13.85</td>
<td>-8.06</td>
<td>18.33</td>
<td>8.24</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>22.79</td>
<td>-6.7</td>
<td>-32.57</td>
<td>20.99</td>
<td>25.95</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>27.27</td>
<td>20.45</td>
<td>11.81</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>17.47</td>
<td>14.38</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P 400 (mid)</td>
<td>10.92</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>-9.14</td>
<td>26.73</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>15.58</td>
<td>21.18</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>-33.5</td>
<td>34.52</td>
<td>32.95</td>
<td>-6.09</td>
<td>29.95</td>
<td>18.04</td>
<td>12.28</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>17.16</td>
<td>14.86</td>
<td>-5.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAFE Small</td>
<td>-4.56</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-7.09</td>
<td>50.06</td>
<td>24.17</td>
<td>34.76</td>
<td>22.73</td>
<td>-11.55</td>
<td>-35.29</td>
<td>14.96</td>
<td>38.14</td>
<td>-19.72</td>
<td>30.53</td>
<td>22.53</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>16.71</td>
<td>14.65</td>
<td>-11.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>-21.93</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>-6.65</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>30.52</td>
<td>43.41</td>
<td>38.16</td>
<td>21.67</td>
<td>-34.36</td>
<td>22.39</td>
<td>28.84</td>
<td>-20.32</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-17.63</td>
<td>27.41</td>
<td>14.03</td>
<td>-8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays Agg</td>
<td>13.12</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Treasury</td>
<td>10.51</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>25.26</td>
<td>-7.71</td>
<td>19.86</td>
<td>-7.35</td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>38.13</td>
<td>-7.65</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>-2.01</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>11.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Grade</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>16.21</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>-1.54</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>9.51</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>7.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Yield</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>11.89</td>
<td>10.28</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>13.19</td>
<td>-1.26</td>
<td>-7.77</td>
<td>28.79</td>
<td>18.22</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>14.82</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>13.58</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>5.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodities</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>-5.65</td>
<td>23.25</td>
<td>27.81</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>21.96</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>27.94</td>
<td>-41.15</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>33.02</td>
<td>-22.88</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-24.55</td>
<td>-15.32</td>
<td>-2.45</td>
<td>11.62</td>
<td>-12.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Asset Class Hold Period Returns

#### Annualized Returns and Growth of a Dollar for hold periods ending May 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>1 Year</th>
<th>3 Year</th>
<th>5 Year</th>
<th>10 Year</th>
<th>18 Year</th>
<th>20 Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACWI.IMI</td>
<td>4.68/1.05</td>
<td>4.97/1.16</td>
<td>5.3/1.29</td>
<td>8.73/2.31</td>
<td>7.1/3.44</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.P.500</td>
<td>12.84/1.13</td>
<td>10.23/1.34</td>
<td>9.86/1.6</td>
<td>13.15/3.44</td>
<td>8.17/4.11</td>
<td>5.94/3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.P.400..mid.</td>
<td>-0.81/0.99</td>
<td>2.51/1.08</td>
<td>4.68/1.26</td>
<td>10.45/2.7</td>
<td>8.49/4.33</td>
<td>8.29/4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.P.600..small.</td>
<td>-8.11/0.92</td>
<td>0.32/1.01</td>
<td>3.93/1.21</td>
<td>10.02/2.6</td>
<td>8.14/4.09</td>
<td>8.47/5.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAFE</td>
<td>-2.81/0.97</td>
<td>-0.37/0.99</td>
<td>0.79/1.04</td>
<td>5.27/1.67</td>
<td>4.94/2.38</td>
<td>2.93/1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAFE.Small</td>
<td>-0.78/0.99</td>
<td>0.07/1</td>
<td>3.27/1.17</td>
<td>7.83/2.12</td>
<td>8.01/4</td>
<td>6.89/3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>-4.39/0.96</td>
<td>-0.15/1</td>
<td>0.88/1.04</td>
<td>2.47/1.28</td>
<td>8.2/4.13</td>
<td>6.39/3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays.Aggr.</td>
<td>9.42/1.09</td>
<td>5.07/1.16</td>
<td>3.94/1.21</td>
<td>3.92/1.47</td>
<td>4.63/2.26</td>
<td>5.21/2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long.Treasury</td>
<td>27.46/1.27</td>
<td>12.4/1.42</td>
<td>8.56/1.51</td>
<td>8.56/2.27</td>
<td>8.01/4.01</td>
<td>8.12/4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment.Grade</td>
<td>10.03/1.1</td>
<td>5.76/1.18</td>
<td>5.03/1.28</td>
<td>5.49/1.71</td>
<td>5.7/2.71</td>
<td>6.21/3.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High.Yield</td>
<td>1.32/1.01</td>
<td>3.04/1.09</td>
<td>4.27/1.23</td>
<td>6.71/1.91</td>
<td>7.57/3.72</td>
<td>7.06/3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodities</td>
<td>-17.06/0.83</td>
<td>-6.9/0.81</td>
<td>-7.79/0.67</td>
<td>-6/0.54</td>
<td>-1.07/0.82</td>
<td>-0.83/0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Asset Class Volatility

Volatility for hold periods ending May 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volatility</th>
<th>1 Year</th>
<th>3 Year</th>
<th>5 Year</th>
<th>10 Year</th>
<th>18 Year</th>
<th>20 Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACWI IMI</td>
<td>23.19</td>
<td>16.65</td>
<td>14.82</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>15.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P 400 (mid)</td>
<td>30.72</td>
<td>21.88</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>16.86</td>
<td>17.49</td>
<td>17.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P 600 (small)</td>
<td>31.31</td>
<td>23.36</td>
<td>20.29</td>
<td>18.38</td>
<td>19.03</td>
<td>19.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAFE</td>
<td>20.92</td>
<td>15.05</td>
<td>14.41</td>
<td>15.04</td>
<td>16.73</td>
<td>16.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>23.64</td>
<td>18.05</td>
<td>17.62</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>21.32</td>
<td>21.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays Agg</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Treasury</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>12.27</td>
<td>11.55</td>
<td>12.72</td>
<td>12.88</td>
<td>12.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Grade</td>
<td>10.24</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>5.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Yield</td>
<td>14.11</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>9.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodities</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>12.54</td>
<td>12.77</td>
<td>14.13</td>
<td>16.12</td>
<td>16.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 Year Correlation

1 Year Correlation Matrix

ACWI
ACWI IMI
S&P 500
S&P 400 (mid)
S&P 600 (small)
EAFE
EAFE Small
EM
Barclays Agg
Long Treasury
Investment Grade
High Yield
Commodities

-1  -0.8  -0.6  -0.4  -0.2  0   0.2  0.4  0.6  0.8  1
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5 Year Correlation Matrix
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Estimating 6/30 returns with available information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3/31 FYTD Total Fund Ret</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in Black are known Values

add Q4 estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credit</th>
<th>-2.5</th>
<th>-12.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Real estate</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>-13.0</td>
<td>-22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds (Q4 actual through June 12)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Equities (actual through June 12)</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Equities and Bonds thru June 30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in blue are estimates

Values in red are hypothetical assumptions

Est 6/30 performance assuming public markets flat for rest of year

| 1.1 | -0.3 |
Total Fund Fiscal Year to Date through 6/11/2020

Return Fiscal Year to Date

Total Fund Market Value (Billions)

Total Fund Performance versus Expectations
100 Basis Points Expected Excess Return with 200 Basis Points Tracking Error
Estimating June 30 returns from available information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/31 FYTD Total Fund Return</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add Q4 estimates:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>-12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real estate</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>-13.0</td>
<td>-22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds (Q4 actual through May 31)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Equities (actual through May 31)</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Equities and Bonds thru June 30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est 6/30 performance assuming public markets flat for rest of year</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in Black are known Values
Values in blue are estimates
Values in red are hypothetical
Quarterly Performance
State Street Performance Summary for the period ended 3/31/2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Portfolio</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Market Value ($M)</th>
<th>1 Mth</th>
<th>2 Mth</th>
<th>FYTD</th>
<th>1 Year</th>
<th>3 Year</th>
<th>5 Year</th>
<th>IGP</th>
<th>Inception Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PLAN</td>
<td>INTERIM SAA POLICY</td>
<td>38,737</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-4.08</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>07-01-1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>-2.03</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL EQUITY WPE and Opp</td>
<td>LEGACY Total Equity B/M</td>
<td>19,277</td>
<td>-11.36</td>
<td>-16.72</td>
<td>-12.21</td>
<td>-9.11</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>07-01-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>-1.77</td>
<td>-1.96</td>
<td>-3.19</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-2.03</td>
<td>-2.41</td>
<td>-2.55</td>
<td>-1.87</td>
<td>-1.27</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERNATIONAL EQUITY</td>
<td>INTERNATIONAL EQUITY INDEX</td>
<td>6,471</td>
<td>-14.88</td>
<td>-23.95</td>
<td>-18.49</td>
<td>-10.30</td>
<td>-2.19</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>01-01-1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIVATE EQUITY</td>
<td>MSCI ACWI INCL USA GROSS (NET) LAGGED</td>
<td>4,298</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>11.92</td>
<td>12.89</td>
<td>12.03</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>05-01-2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>-14.83</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTEREST RATE SENSITIVE</td>
<td>BGI BARC Agg (Dly)</td>
<td>3,694</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>07-01-1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CREDIT</td>
<td>LEGACY Total Credit B/M</td>
<td>8,709</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>07-01-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>-2.02</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIVATE DEBT</td>
<td>S&amp;P LEVERAGED LOAN INDEX (LAGGED + 300 BPS)</td>
<td>8,291</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>10.03</td>
<td>10.15</td>
<td>11.63</td>
<td>10-01-2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>-2.23</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISTRESSED DEBT</td>
<td>S&amp;P LEVERAGED LOAN INDEX (LAGGED + 300 BPS)</td>
<td>1,520</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>07-01-2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.44</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-2.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER CREDIT</td>
<td>S&amp;P LEVERAGED LOAN INDEX (LAGGED + 300 BPS)</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>21.08</td>
<td>10.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>07-01-2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>-1.71</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORS1. REAL ESTATE</td>
<td>Custom ASRS OCCF (Net)</td>
<td>8,736</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>10-01-2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASH</td>
<td>CASH CUSTOM INDEX</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>04-01-1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIMARY OTHER</td>
<td>ICE 20GA US 1-Month Treasury Bill</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>297.21</td>
<td>337.14</td>
<td>1,355.51</td>
<td>1,355.76</td>
<td>147.03</td>
<td>70.66</td>
<td>51.79</td>
<td>07-01-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td></td>
<td>296.22</td>
<td>336.50</td>
<td>1,359.53</td>
<td>1,353.00</td>
<td>145.30</td>
<td>69.47</td>
<td>50.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ASRS Returns Compared to Public Fund Universe for the period ended 3/31/2020

UNIVERSE COMPARISON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Return (Rank)</th>
<th>1 Year</th>
<th>3 Years</th>
<th>5 Years</th>
<th>10 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5th Percentile</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th Percentile</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75th Percentile</td>
<td>-8.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95th Percentile</td>
<td>-9.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of Portfolios</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 Year</th>
<th>3 Years</th>
<th>5 Years</th>
<th>10 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Fund</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fund Percentile Rank</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fund Ordinal Rank</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Funds In Universe</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim SAA Policy</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Total Fund Rolling Percentile Rankings for the period ended 3/31/2020
### ASRS Asset Class Net Returns
#### 1-Year Returns ending December 31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Class</th>
<th>Private Debt</th>
<th>Credit</th>
<th>US Equity</th>
<th>RE Separate Accounts</th>
<th>Distressed Debt</th>
<th>Emerging Market Equity</th>
<th>Total Fund</th>
<th>US Equity</th>
<th>Total Fund</th>
<th>Non-US Developed Equity</th>
<th>Total Fund</th>
<th>Emerging Market Equity</th>
<th>Core Bonds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed 7.50%</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distressed Debt</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Bonds</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Equity</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Market Equity</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-US Developed Equity</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Debt</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-Year Returns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ASRS Asset Class Excess Returns
#### 1-Year Returns ending December 31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Fund</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Debt</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distressed Debt</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE Separate Accounts</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Equity</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Bonds</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Market Equity</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distressed Debt</td>
<td>-11.5</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit</td>
<td>-6.2</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Asset Class:**
- No Excess Return
- Total Fund
- Public Equity
- Real Estate Separate Accounts
- Credit
- Private Debt
- Distressed Debt
- Emerging Market Equity
- Core Bonds

*Annual excess returns for years ended 12/31/2019*
ASRS INVESTMENT OBJECTIVES

Goal #4: Design, implement, and maintain an investment management program that maximizes rates of return for acceptable levels of risk.

a) Develop, approve and implement an Asset Allocation program that is expected to achieve a 20-year rolling average annual return at or above the actuarial assumed return
   Goal met: Yes

b) Achieve a total fund net return in the top quartile of the peer universe
   Goal met: Yes

c) Achieve a 1 year total fund net return greater than the Strategic Asset Allocation Benchmark
   Goal met: No

d) Achieve a 3-year total fund net return greater than the Strategic Asset Allocation Benchmark
   Goal met: Yes

e) Achieve 1 year asset class net returns greater than the respective Asset Allocation Benchmarks
   Goal met: Partial

f) Achieve 3 year asset class net returns greater than the respective Asset Allocation Benchmarks
   Goal met: Partial

g) Sufficient cash will be maintained to meet all payment requirements
   Goal met: Yes

Source: ASRS Strategic Plan, August 2018
Total Fund
Trailing Returns & Dollar Value Add for the period ended 3/31/2020

**Total Fund and Strategic Asset Allocation Benchmark**

**Trailing Period Returns**

- One Year: -1.14%
- Three Year: 4.01%
- Five Year: 5.21%
- Ten Year: 7.66%

**Total Fund Dollar Value Add**

**Relative to Strategic Asset Allocation Benchmark**

- One Year: $-830
- Three Year: $607
- Five Year: $1101
- Ten Year: $2912

**Legend:**
- Blue: Total Fund
- Orange: Strategic Asset Allocation Benchmark
- Orange: Excess Return
- Green: Residual
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Total Fund Returns for the period ended 3/31/2020

Total Fund Rolling Returns
Trailing Period Returns

Annualized Return


One Year
Ten Year
Twenty Year
Total Fund Rolling 1 Year Excess Return for the period ended 3/31/2020
Allocation Effect for the period ended 3/31/2020

**Allocation Effect by Asset Class**
Relative to Strategic Asset Allocation Benchmark

- In Millions
- One Year, Three Year, Five Year, Ten Year

**Asset Class Average Active Weights**
Relative to Strategic Asset Allocation Policy

- Active Weight
- One Year, Three Year, Five Year, Ten Year

Legend:
- Cash
- Credit
- Real Estate
- Equity
- Bonds
- Other
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Excess Return by Asset Class for the period ended 3/31/2020

Excess Return by Asset Class
Relative to Strategic Asset Allocation Benchmark

- Cash
- Credit
- Real Estate
- Equity
- Bonds
- Other

in Millions

One Year
Three Year
Five Year
Ten Year

-$500
$0
$500
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equity</th>
<th>Total Equity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>Public Equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit</td>
<td>Private Equity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Equity**
Total Equities for the period ended 3/31/2020

Returns for the period ended 3/31/2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>One Year</th>
<th>Three Year</th>
<th>Five Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>-18.72</td>
<td>-9.11</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark</td>
<td>-16.95</td>
<td>-5.92</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>-1.77</td>
<td>-3.19</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Equity</td>
<td>-23.37</td>
<td>-13.84</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark</td>
<td>-22.37</td>
<td>-12.45</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
<td>-1.39</td>
<td>-1.03</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Equity</td>
<td>-22.97</td>
<td>-11.74</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark</td>
<td>-20.94</td>
<td>-9.18</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>6.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>-2.03</td>
<td>-2.55</td>
<td>-1.84</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Equity</td>
<td>-23.88</td>
<td>-16.39</td>
<td>-2.22</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark</td>
<td>-24.11</td>
<td>-16.32</td>
<td>-2.24</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>10.58</td>
<td>11.86</td>
<td>11.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index IRR</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>26.78</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>8.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>-8.42</td>
<td>-16.20</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Total Equity Market Values for the period ended 3/31/2020

Market Values

In Billions

2010  2015  2020

Domestic Equity  International Equity  Private Equity
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Domestic Equity Market Values for period ended 3/31/2020

Market Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>US Large Cap</th>
<th>US Mid Cap</th>
<th>US Small Cap</th>
<th>Opportunistic Equity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
International Equity Market Values for period ended 3/31/2020
Total Equity Performance since July 2018

Total Equity Portfolio Performance versus Expectations
110 Basis Points Expected Excess Return with 290 Basis Points Tracking Error
Public Equity
Total Equity Returns and Dollar Value Add for the period ended 3/31/2020
Public Equity “Levers” for the period ended 3/31/2020

Public Equity Dollar Value Add
One Year

Levers:
- Alpha Overlay
- Blackrock Excess
- Factors
- Futures
- Index Selection
- Internal Excess
- Public Equity Allocation
- Residual
- Total
- Transition Accounts

In Millions

Public Equity Allocation | Futures | Blackrock Excess | Internal Excess | Residual | Transition Accounts | Index Selection | Factors | Alpha Overlay | Total

$200- |
$100- |
$0- |
-$100- |
-$200- |
Factor Portfolios for the period ended 3/31/2020

Factor Portfolio Dollar Value Add
Trailing 1 Year

Factor Portfolio Dollar Value Add
Trailing 5 Years

Emerging Markets
Non-US Large Cap
Total
US Large Cap

Legend:
- Emerging Markets
- Non-US Large Cap
- Total
- US Large Cap
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Public Equity Active Weights for the period ended 3/31/2020

Average Quarterly Active Weight

- US Large Cap
- US Mid Cap
- US Small Cap
- Non-US Large Cap
- Non-US Small Cap
- Emerging Markets
- Public Opportunistic Equity
- Risk Factors

2016  2017  2018

June 15, 2020 Performance Report
Public Equity Allocation for the period ended 3/31/2020

Allocation Effect by Sub Asset Classes
Relative to Target Weight – One Year

Allocation Effect by Sub Asset Classes
Relative to Target Weight – Five Year

- US Large Cap
- US Mid Cap
- US Small Cap
- Non-US Large Cap
- Emerging Markets
- Public Opportunistic Equity
Internally Managed Portfolios Performance for the period ended 3/31/2020

Internal Portfolio Composite
Monthly Cumulative Excess Performance

Internal Portfolio Composite
Rolling 1 Year Excess Performance
US Large Cap: Performance for the period ended 3/31/2020

Internal US Large Cap Portfolio Performance versus Expectations
10.0 Basis Points Expected Excess Return with 15.0 Basis Points Tracking Error
US Large Cap: Tracking Error for the period ended 3/31/2020
US Large Cap: Net Asset Value Decompositions for the period ended 3/31/2020

Decomposition by Period

Cumulative Decomposition

Active Positioning  Cash and Futures Impact  Other  Securities Lending  Trade Variance
US Mid Cap: Performance for the period ended 3/31/2020

Internal US Mid Cap Portfolio Performance versus Expectations
10.0 Basis Points Expected Excess Return with 20.0 Basis Points Tracking Error

Excess Return

Jul '18 Oct '18 Jan '19 Apr '19 Jul '19 Oct '19 Jan '20
US Mid Cap: Tracking Error for the period ended 3/31/2020
US Mid Cap: Net Asset Value Decompositions for the period ended 3/31/2020
US Small Cap: Performance & Risk Summary ended 3/31/2020

Internal US Small Cap Portfolio Performance versus Expectations
10.0 Basis Points Expected Excess Return with 20.0 Basis Points Tracking Error
US Small Cap: Tracking Error for the period ended 3/31/2020

Internal US Small Cap Portfolio Tracking Error

- Tracking Error
- Tracking Error Budget
US Small Cap: Net Asset Value Decomposition for the period ended 3/31/2020
US Large Cap Factor Performance Summary for the period ended 3/31/2020
Private Equity
Private Equity Estimated Performance for 3/31/2020

The following table shows IRR data using the 135 Private Equity funds that have reported and 8 funds with March 31 2020 estimated NAVs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>Internal Rate of Return</th>
<th>% of NAV Reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>QTR</td>
<td>1-Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity IRR</td>
<td>-13.03</td>
<td>-8.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity Benchmark IRR</td>
<td>-22.39</td>
<td>-12.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity Excess IRR</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mega Buyout IRR</td>
<td>-12.22</td>
<td>-2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Buyout IRR</td>
<td>-12.70</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Buyout IRR</td>
<td>-6.98</td>
<td>4.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Buyout IRR</td>
<td>-6.30</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology IRR</td>
<td>-4.25</td>
<td>7.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy IRR</td>
<td>-34.13</td>
<td>-46.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distressed IRR</td>
<td>-13.53</td>
<td>-16.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venture Capital IRR</td>
<td>-2.80</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondaries IRR</td>
<td>-15.58</td>
<td>-17.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mezzanine IRR</td>
<td>-5.69</td>
<td>35.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Private Equity Estimated Dollar Value Add for 3/31/2020

Private Equity Dollar Value Add
Estimated as of 3/31/2020

- QTR
- 1-Year
- 3-Year
- 5-Year
- ITD

- $1,000 -
- $500 -
- $0 -
- -$500 -

- Mega Buyout
- Large Buyout
- Medium Buyout
- Small Buyout
- Technology
- Energy
- Distressed
- Venture Capital
- Secondaries
- Mezzanine

In Millions
# Private Equity Performance Overview & Allocation for the period ended 12/31/2019

## Private Equity Internal Rate of Return

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>One Year</th>
<th>Three Year</th>
<th>Five Year</th>
<th>Ten Year</th>
<th>Inception to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>10.58</td>
<td>11.86</td>
<td>11.53</td>
<td>12.94</td>
<td>12.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index IRR</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>26.78</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td>11.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>-8.42</td>
<td>-16.20</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burgiss</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burgiss Excess</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>11.72</td>
<td>11.42</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>11.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>24.15</td>
<td>24.42</td>
<td>20.93</td>
<td>20.70</td>
<td>19.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Buyout</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>17.17</td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>17.98</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td>15.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Buyout</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>13.05</td>
<td>17.57</td>
<td>19.37</td>
<td>16.51</td>
<td>15.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>-10.15</td>
<td>-14.75</td>
<td>-3.17</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mega Buyout</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>19.96</td>
<td>15.76</td>
<td>15.91</td>
<td>15.31</td>
<td>13.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venture Capital</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>18.96</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>12.48</td>
<td>13.03</td>
<td>11.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondaries</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>8.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distressed</td>
<td>-15.86</td>
<td>-9.27</td>
<td>-2.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>5.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Buyout</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>20.27</td>
<td>16.52</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>14.98</td>
<td>14.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mezzanine</td>
<td>36.49</td>
<td>31.12</td>
<td>10.81</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>9.87</td>
<td>9.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Private Equity Decomposition for the period ended 12/31/2019
Private Equity Dollar Value Add for the period ended 12/31/2019
Private Equity Performance by Vintage for the period ended 12/31/2019
Private Equity Performance by Category for the period ended 12/31/2019

Comparison of Private Equity Composites

PME:
- > 1.15
- 1.05 to 1.15
- 0.95 to 1.05
- 0.85 to 0.95
- < 0.85
Real Estate
Real Estate Performance Overview

- The ASRS real estate portfolio underperformed the benchmark for the 2020Q1, 1-Year, and 3-Year periods, primarily driven by the initial impacts of COVID-19 on the economy and capital markets
  - Significant underperformance in Debt during Q1 2020 due to mark-to-market impacts of public securities
  - Moderate underperformance in the SMA portfolio during the most recent year, with significant outperformance long term
  - Underperformance in other categories, likely due to relatively higher leverage and potentially more aggressive revaluation than the benchmark as of 3/31
- Offset by outperformance from the Operating Company investments
- The ASRS real estate portfolio continues to outperform the benchmark over the long-term
Real Estate Estimated Performance for 3/31/2020

The following table shows IRR data using the 61 Real Estate funds that have reported and 6 funds which RCLCO has provided March 31 2020 estimated NAVs. The remaining 3 active funds use cash adjusted NAVs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>Internal Rate of Return</th>
<th>% of NAV Reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>QTR</td>
<td>1-Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>-2.91</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>-3.66</td>
<td>-4.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separate Account</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Commingled</td>
<td>-4.83</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Company</td>
<td>22.07</td>
<td>11.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt</td>
<td>-28.52</td>
<td>-28.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farming/Infrastructure</td>
<td>-5.59</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legacy</td>
<td>-3.75</td>
<td>-3.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Real Estate Estimated Dollar Value Add for 3/31/2020

Real Estate Dollar Value Add
Estimated as of 3/31/2020

-243
-283
-75
53
291

in Millions

Separate Account
Current Commingled
Operating Company
Debt
Farming/Infrastructure
Legacy

QTR
1-Year
3-Year
5-Year
ITD
3/31/2020 Separate Account Comparisons to ODCE

Property Type, ODCE vs ASRS SMA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ODCE</th>
<th>SMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Live</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shop</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Property Life Cycle, ODCE vs ASRS SMA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ODCE</th>
<th>SMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stabilized</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Cycle</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentration by Select Markets, ODCE vs. ASRS SMA Portfolio, Q1 2020

- NY-NJ-New York-Jersey City-White Plains
- CA-Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale
- CA-San Francisco-Redwood City-South San Francisco
- DC-VA-MD-WV-Washington-Arlington-Alexandria
- IL-Chicago-Naperville-Arlington Heights
- TX-Dallas-Plano-Irving
- TX-Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land
- TN-Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin
- CA-San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara
- FL-Miami-Miami Beach-Kendall

ODCE ▬ SMA
# Real Estate Performance Overview & Allocation for the period ended 12/31/2019

## Real Estate Internal Rate of Return

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>One Year</th>
<th>Three Year</th>
<th>Five Year</th>
<th>Ten Year</th>
<th>Inception to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>10.97</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index IRR</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>9.27</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legacy</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separate Account</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>9.78</td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Commingled</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>10.63</td>
<td>10.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farming/Infrastructure</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Company</td>
<td>-9.10</td>
<td>-4.07</td>
<td>-1.65</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>10.81</td>
<td>10.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Real Estate Net Asset Value by Strategy for the period ended 12/31/2019

Net Asset Value by Strategy

- Current Commingled
- Legacy
- Debt
- Operating Company
- Farming/Infrastructure
- Separate Account
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Real Estate Dollar Value Add for the period ended 12/31/2019
Total Credit
The following table shows IRR data using the 27 Credit funds that have reported and 4 funds with March 31 2020 estimated NAVs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>Internal Rate of Return</th>
<th>% of NAV Reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>QTR</td>
<td>1-Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit</td>
<td>-2.52</td>
<td>3.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit LSTA + 250bps</td>
<td>-12.29</td>
<td>-6.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Excess</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>10.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Debt</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>5.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distressed Debt</td>
<td>-7.98</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Credit</td>
<td>-3.71</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Private Credit Estimated Dollar Value Add for 3/31/2020

Real Estate Dollar Value Add
Estimated as of 3/31/2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In Millions</th>
<th>QTR</th>
<th>1-Year</th>
<th>3-Year</th>
<th>5-Year</th>
<th>ITD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>807</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>1195</td>
<td>1278</td>
<td>1455</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Private Debt
- Distressed Debt
- Other Credit
Total Credit for the period ended 3/31/2020

Credit Annualized Returns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>One Year</th>
<th>Three Year</th>
<th>Five Year</th>
<th>Ten Year</th>
<th>Inception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Credit (TWR)</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>7.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blended Benchmark</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>11.36</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>5.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Credit Excess</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-2.02</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Debt</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>10.08</td>
<td>12.34</td>
<td>11.07</td>
<td>11.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index IRR</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>8.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-1.94</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distressed Debt</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index IRR</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>11.28</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-2.39</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Credit</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>13.87</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>9.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index IRR</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>11.96</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>7.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>-1.20</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Credit Market Values and Performance for the period ended 3/31/2020
Credit Dollar Value Add for the period ended 3/31/2020
Credit: Total Value to Paid in Multiple & Public Market Equivalent for the period ended 3/31/2020

Comparison of Credit Strategies

- Private Debt
- Credit
- Other Credit
- Distressed Debt

PME:
- > 1.15
- 1.05 to 1.15
- 0.95 to 1.05
- 0.85 to 0.95
- < 0.85

June 15, 2020 Performance Report
Credit Performance for the period ended 3/31/2020

Total Credit Portfolio Performance versus Expectations
100 Basis Points Expected Excess Return with 100 Basis Points Tracking Error

Excess Return

Jul '18  Oct '18  Jan '19  Apr '19  Jul '19  Oct '19  Jan '20  Apr '20
Private Debt Market Values for the period ended 12/31/2019
Private Debt: Total Value to Paid in Multiple & Public Market Equivalent for the period ended 12/31/2019
Distressed Debt Market Values for the period ended 12/31/2019
Distressed Debt: Total Value to Paid in Multiple & Public Market Equivalent for the period ended 12/31/2019
Other Credit Market Values for the period ended 12/31/2019
Other Credit: Total Value to Paid in Multiple & Public Market Equivalent for the period ended 12/31/2019

Comparison of Other Credit Funds

PME:
- > 1.15
- 1.05 to 1.15
- 0.95 to 1.05
- 0.85 to 0.95
- < 0.85
### Annualized Time-Weighted Returns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>One Year</th>
<th>Three Year</th>
<th>Five Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core Bond Composite</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclay’s Aggregate</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>8.93</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Composite Excess</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Fixed Income</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>9.53</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclay’s Aggregate</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>8.93</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Fixed Income Excess</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BlackRock US Debt Fund</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclay’s Aggregate</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>8.93</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BlackRock Excess</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Core Fixed Income Decomposition for the period ended 3/31/2020
Core Fixed Income Dollar Value Added for the period ended 3/31/2020
Core Fixed Income Quarterly Returns for the period ended 3/31/2020
Core Fixed Income Performance for the period ended 3/31/2020

Internal Fixed Income Portfolio Performance versus Expectations
12.5 Basis Points Expected Excess Return with 12.5 Basis Points Expected Tracking Error

Blackrock US Debt Portfolio Performance versus Expectations
12.5 Basis Points Expected Excess Return with 12.5 Basis Points Expected Tracking Error
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In our previous implementation plan (IP 2019), we identified six strategies that we expected to drive outperformance against our benchmarks:

- S&P 1500 versus MSCI USA (benchmark implementation)
- Factor Program
- Alpha Overlay Program
- Internally managed portfolios
- Securities lending
- Private equity

In this year’s implementation plan, we have revisited the levers that did not perform well.

We are recommending either defunding these strategies and moving to passive investing, or defunding the program(s) entirely.

Going forward, our focus will be based on outperformance from: enhanced passive investing, security lending, other index enhancing strategies, and sector over/under weights across both public and private equity.
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Summary of Structure

The following slides present our proposed changes to the structure of the total equity asset class, summarized as follows:

- **Portfolios/Strategies and Benchmarks**
  - We have adopted the MSCI ACWI IMI index as our Total Equity benchmark

- **Weights of Portfolios/Strategies**
  - Target weights for both sub-asset class and total equity incorporate the recommended changes

- **Public/Total Equity: Excess Return & Tracking Error Targets**
  - We present the excess return and tracking error targets separately for public equities and total equities
  - Excess returns and tracking error targets are calculated based on live history of the portfolios, when applicable. Results are as follows:
    - Public equity: Excess return target is 25 basis points; tracking error target is 58 basis points
    - Total equity: Excess return target is 92 basis points; tracking error target is 210 basis points
### Portfolios/Strategies and Benchmarks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Asset Class</th>
<th>Portfolio &amp; Strategy</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US LC</td>
<td>Internal US Large Cap</td>
<td>MSCI USA Large Cap</td>
<td>ASRS Internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US MC</td>
<td>Internal US Mid Cap</td>
<td>MSCI USA Mid Cap</td>
<td>ASRS Internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US SC</td>
<td>Internal US Small Cap</td>
<td>MSCI USA Small Cap</td>
<td>ASRS Internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int'l Developed LC</td>
<td>MSCI World ex-US SMA</td>
<td>MSCI World ex-US</td>
<td>Blackrock / Legal and General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Markets</td>
<td>MSCI Emerging Markets IMI CIT</td>
<td>MSCI Emerging Markets IMI</td>
<td>Blackrock / Legal and General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>ASRS PE Portfolio</td>
<td>MSCI ACWI IMI</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The factor portfolios (US and EAFE) and the Alpha Overlay program are not included, pending decision.
## Weights of Portfolios/Strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Portfolio/Strategy</th>
<th>Sub-Asset Class</th>
<th>% of Sub-Asset Class</th>
<th>% of Total Equity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US LC</td>
<td>Internal US Large Cap</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US MC</td>
<td>Internal US Mid Cap</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US SC</td>
<td>Internal US Small Cap</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int’l Developed LC</td>
<td>MSCI World ex-US SMA</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int’l Developed SC</td>
<td>MSCI World ex-US Small Cap SMA</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Markets</td>
<td>MSCI Emerging Markets IMI CIT</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>ASRS PE Portfolio</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Target weights will float with MSCI ACWI IMI
**Public Equity: Excess Return & Tracking Error Targets**

- Weighted average excess return is 25 bps, with 58 bps of tracking error

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Asset Class</th>
<th>Portfolio/Strategy</th>
<th>Current Weight</th>
<th>Target Weight</th>
<th>Excess Return (bps)</th>
<th>Tracking Error (bps)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US LC</td>
<td>Alpha Overlay US Large Cap</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US LC</td>
<td>Internal US Large Cap</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US LC</td>
<td>Internal US Factors</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US MC</td>
<td>Internal US Mid Cap</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US SC</td>
<td>Internal US Small Cap</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int'l Developed LC</td>
<td>MSCI World ex-US SMA</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int'l Developed LC</td>
<td>EAFE Factor Portfolio</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int'l Developed SC</td>
<td>MSCI World ex-US Small Cap SMA</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Markets</td>
<td>MSCI Emerging Markets IMI CIT</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Total Equity: Excess Return & Tracking Error Targets

- Weighted average excess return is 92 bps, with 210 bps of tracking error

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Asset Class</th>
<th>Portfolio/Strategy</th>
<th>Current Weight</th>
<th>Target Weight</th>
<th>Excess Return (bps)</th>
<th>Tracking Error (bps)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US LC</td>
<td>Alpha Overlay US Large Cap</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US LC</td>
<td>Internal US Large Cap</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US LC</td>
<td>Internal US Factors</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US MC</td>
<td>Internal US Mid Cap</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US SC</td>
<td>Internal US Small Cap</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int'l Developed LC</td>
<td>MSCI World ex-US SMA</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int'l Developed LC</td>
<td>EAFE Factor Portfolio</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int'l Developed SC</td>
<td>MSCI World ex-US Small Cap SMA</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Markets</td>
<td>MSCI Emerging Markets IMI CIT</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>ASRS PE Portfolio</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Total Equity: Expected & Trailing Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Asset Class</th>
<th>Portfolio/Strategy</th>
<th>Target Weight</th>
<th>Expected Outperformance (bps)</th>
<th>Trailing 1-yr Excess Return (bps)</th>
<th>Trailing 3-yr Excess Return (bps)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA IMI</td>
<td>Internal Equity Portfolios</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int T Developed LC</td>
<td>MSCI World ex-US SMA</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int T Developed SC</td>
<td>MSCI World ex-US Small Cap SMA</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Markets</td>
<td>MSCI Emerging Markets IMI CIT</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>ASRS PE Portfolio</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>628</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Note that Private Equity trailing returns are based on 94% of reported NAVs
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Background

- In our prior implementation plan, the Equity team presented a study on the global MSCI ACWI IMI index as a benchmark for Total Equity.
- Prior study showed potential for alpha when implementing S&P portfolios domestically.
- In practice, tracking error was larger than previously expected, leading to periods of underperformance.
  - To dampen the current tracking error, we implemented exposure control through optimization and regression analysis.
  - Although this significantly reduced the drag, it was not the final solution.
- We proposed transitioning our current S&P holdings to MSCI in all applicable instances.
Why MSCI?

- Superior methodology:
  - Aims to provide comprehensive coverage of the relevant investable market, resulting in broader opportunity set
  - Builds on index liquidity, investability and replicability
  - Reflects an evolving market that does not allow for number-locking constituents within the indexes
  - MSCI is the only index provider with a consistent methodology across all regions, including the US

- Reduce unintended tracking error vs. the benchmark and tighter exposure via active control

- Increased yield in Securities Lending program

- Reduction in operational portfolio management, freeing up Equity team to focus on higher alpha generating strategies
MSCI vs. S&P

MSCI USA IMI indexes provide better diversification among the large, mid, and small cap market segments:

![MSCI Market Cap Breakdown](image1)

**Small Cap:** 3%  **Mid Cap:** 7%  **Large Cap:** 90%

![S&P Market Cap Breakdown](image2)

**Small Cap:** 3%  **Mid Cap:** 7%  **Large Cap:** 90%

S&P indexes are locked by the number of companies in the index, i.e. 500/400/600. This leads to multiple weaknesses in the index construction, summarized as follows:

- The market is largely represented by large cap at around 90%, with small cap at less than 3%
- Total companies’ capitalization in the combined S&P indexes is less than the total US market capitalization, ~90% of total market while MSCI captures ~99%
- Cutoff inclusion for each index is set by number of companies, which leads, over the long run, to mid cap companies in the large cap index
- The S&P mid cap index is more reflective of MSCI’s small cap index, while the S&P small cap index leans more toward micro cap
The following graphs show the excess returns of S&P versus MSCI.

MSCI has outperformed S&P in recent time periods and across market cap segments.
Implementation

- Engaged current brokers
- Negotiated commission to reduce explicit costs
- Completed switch on February 29th, 2020
  - We chose this day because it coincided with MSCI’s quarterly rebalance and we were able to take advantage of the large liquidity event
The internal portfolios that previously tracked the S&P indices were transitioned to MSCI.

- Transition cost was determined to be de minimis when compared to unintended tracking error.
- Updated strategy papers detail objectives of the portfolios.

Our excess return targets for the internal equity portfolios are 25 basis points, and we plan to achieve this in the following ways:

- Securities lending
- Tactical constituent weighting
- Equitization optimization
- Futures versus physicals arbitrage
- Index changes arbitrage between S&P and MSCI indexes
- Sector baskets vs Sector
- Sector rotation based on valuations
- Statistical convergence trades within sectors, i.e. pair trading
- Within sector relative valuations, i.e. CAPE vs forward and trailing index PE
- Other trading opportunities for alpha capture
Outline

1. Review of Implementation Plan 2019
2. Structure of Equity Asset Class
3. Summary of Research
   - Domestic Equity Benchmark Selection
   - Factor Program
   - Alpha Overlay Program
   - Total Equity Portfolio Management
4. Private Equity
   - Background
   - Philosophy and Strategy
   - Portfolio Positioning
   - Cash Flow Analysis
   - Pacing Model
   - 2020 Implementation
5. Measuring & Monitoring
Factor Program Background

- Sci-Beta multi-factor strategies were implemented within US Large Cap, EAFE Large Cap and EM equities
- The US Sci-Beta portfolio was funded in August 2017 with allocated capital of $1.68 billion, inherited from the legacy MSCI factor portfolios (E7, E8 and E9). This stood at 8.1% of Public Equity and 6.8% of Total Equity
- EAFE & EM Sci-Beta factor portfolios were funded in June 2018 and May 2018, respectively, with allocated capital of $1.53 billion & $480 million. This stood at 10.6% of Public Equity and 9% of Total Equity
- In the first phase of Implementation Plan 2020, completed in February-March 2020, we implemented the following:
  - Implemented the Sci-Beta 6-factor index in both the US and EAFE, instead of the 4-factor index
    - Since implementation, the 6-factor index has outperformed the 4-factor index by 140 bps (as of May 29th, 2020)
  - Defunded EM factors and moved to EM passive strategy: $500 million
  - Lowered allocation of EAFE factors program to $1 billion from $1.5 billion
  - Lowered allocation of US factors program to $1.5 billion from $1.75 billion
## Factor Program Performance

**Current exposure**

As of May 4, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Exposure (USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>1,210mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAFE</td>
<td>807mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>0mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>2,000mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Percentage of Public Equity**

- 13%

**Percentage of Total Equity**

- 10%

**Performance, 2018**

*ASRS US Factors*

- US Factors have underperformed MSCI and S&P Indexes
- EAFE Factors have underperformed MSCI EAFE since the COVID-19 crisis, after outperforming fiscal year to Jan.
- EM factors have been underperforming MSCI EM Index pre and post COVID-19 crisis

*New Sci Beta program*
US Factors Performance

- ASRS US factors' performance vs S&P 500 Index:
  - USA factors vs S&P
  - Monthly Data
  - 2018 to 2020

- ASRS US factors' performance vs MSCI USA Large Cap Index:
  - USA factors vs MSCI LG
  - Monthly Data
  - 2018 to 2020

- Compared to US total Market: MSCI USA IMI:
  - USA factors vs MSCI IMI
  - Monthly Data
  - 2018 to 2020

-10%
-8.5%
-13%
EAFE Factors Performance

ASRS EAFE factors’ performance vs MSCI EAFE Index

...Boxplot EAFE factor vs MSCI EAFE

-7.5%
**Quantitative Analysis of Factor Program**

- Factor returns are statistically significant at lower than zero

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>US Factors vs S&amp;P 500 Index one sample test</th>
<th>US Factors vs MSCI IMI Index one sample test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>One Sample t-test</strong></td>
<td><strong>One Sample t-test</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US factors vs S&amp;P</td>
<td>US Factors vs MSCI IMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( t = -1.8098, \text{ df } = 31, \text{ p-value } = 0.04001 )</td>
<td>( t = -1.5105, \text{ df } = 31, \text{ p-value } = 0.07053 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative hypothesis: true mean is less than 0</td>
<td>Alternative hypothesis: true mean is less than 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95 percent confidence interval:</td>
<td>95 percent confidence interval:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Inf (-0.0001955631)</td>
<td>-Inf (0.0002810046)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample estimates:</td>
<td>Sample estimates:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of x</td>
<td>Mean of x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.003096055)</td>
<td>(-0.002293563)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>US Factors vs MSCI Large Index one sample test</th>
<th>EAFE Factors vs MSCI EAFE Index one sample test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>One Sample t-test</strong></td>
<td><strong>One Sample t-test</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Factors vs MSCI Large</td>
<td>EAFE Factors vs MSCI EAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( t = -1.9257, \text{ df } = 31, \text{ p-value } = 0.03188 )</td>
<td>( t = -1.7362, \text{ df } = 21, \text{ p-value } = 0.04859 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative hypothesis: true mean is less than 0</td>
<td>Alternative hypothesis: true mean is less than 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95 percent confidence interval:</td>
<td>95 percent confidence interval:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Inf (-0.0004602922)</td>
<td>-Inf (-2.127513e-05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample estimates:</td>
<td>Sample estimates:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of x</td>
<td>Mean of x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.003767237)</td>
<td>(-0.002390897)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Team recommends defunding the factor program and moving the assets to passive portfolios within the respective asset classes. Our recommendation is based on the following:

- ASRS’s plan was to reassess the program after 3 years of implementation, which coincides with July of this year (2020)
- Throughout the investment period, the factors program has continuously underperformed
- EDHEC Sci-Beta factors, like all other factor vendors, have been underperforming across markets and capitalizations

Recommendation:

- Defund US and EAFE factors and move to passive strategy
- Target date for defunding will be by the end of the fiscal year: June 30, 2020
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In November 2018, the Equity Team implemented an Alpha Overlay Program, ported over the S&P 500 with 8% targeted tracking error.

At the time of investment, the Alpha Overlay fund was 9.2% of Public Equity and 7.6% of Total Equity.

In 2018-2019, the Equity Team conducted a study and due diligence on potential funds that would be included in the alpha overlay underlying program.

The team concluded that the potential alpha strategies were not accretive to the performance of the program, thus no further investments were made.
Cone charts using strategy’s stated objective of 800 basis points excess return, with 800 basis points tracking error:
Alpha Overlay Program Performance

- Alpha Overlay shows higher returns and lower dispersion during GFC to 2015 vs 2015-to-date
- Since 2015: Median returns dropped to ~zero and exhibit higher dispersion and outliers:
The Team recommends:

- Defund the alpha overlay strategy and move assets to internally managed portfolios
- Target date for defunding will be by the end of the fiscal year: June 30, 2020
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Management of Sector Exposure

Example of Total US equity GICS sector exposure overweight vs Benchmark underweight

- Typically in a large, well-diversified US portfolio, sector concentration is inevitable as ASRS’s private equity process is based on selecting top managers across matrices in their sector.
- Managing the total equity portfolio on a total portfolio basis would allow ASRS to hedge Beta sector exposure.
- This could be implemented with equity basket TRSs with different counterparties, and/or through equity futures.
- This type of total portfolio management would be based on the following:
  - Limits on how much single sector concentration can be tolerated vs benchmark, i.e. 1% of total maximum exposure.
  - Team view on that particular sector in the public market.

- ASRS to set off-benchmark sector limit per each sector.
- Risk management to monitor on a weekly basis that:
  \[ \Sigma \text{Exposure(US Public Equity Sectors, US Private Equity Sectors, Open OTC TRS or Futures)} \] vs Benchmark
Total Equity Program Structure

Separately managed

US internally managed
Sector exposure

Monthly produced sector exposure
- Compared to MSCI IMI US
- Notional size and percentage

Separately managed
Private Equity US portion
Sector exposure

Monthly produced sector exposure
- Compared to MSCI IMI US
- Notional and percentage

Portfolio Management

Total Sector exposure relative to the Benchmark

Futures Account

Total Return Swap Account

Execution

Total Equity Portfolio
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What is Private Equity?

- Private equity is an alternative form of private financing, away from public markets, in which funds and investors directly invest in companies or engage in buyouts of such companies.

- Private equity companies raise funds from Qualified Investors to invest directly into companies across a range of strategies (leveraged buyouts, distressed, venture capital, growth equity, etc.).

- When committing to a private equity fund, it is a long term commitment (typically 10 - 12 years) whereby the commitment is deployed at the discretion of the general partner over 3 - 6 years in illiquid investments.

Investment Process

- Deal structure and price are negotiated between the investor and company management.
- Unlike public markets, investor can request access to all information, including internal projections during due diligence.
- Investors typically remain heavily involved in the company after the transaction via board seats, operations groups working directly with management, and systematic data collection.
Why invest in Private Equity?

- At ASRS, the goal of Private Equity is to enhance returns of the total equity portfolio by
  - Capturing the illiquidity premium relative to public markets (i.e. excess return earned for taking on the risk of owning illiquid assets over the long term
  - Active investment approach to ownership by the General Partner to build better businesses through reorganization, acquiring competitors to gain market share, increasing efficiency of operations, optimizing balance sheet, acquiring at a discount, etc.

- The number of US publicly traded companies has declined by nearly 40% since 2000 and the trend is expected to continue while the growth of private equity has continued unabated.
Commingled Fund Structure

- General Partner (GP) /Limited Partner (LP) structure
  - General Partner is the manager of the fund
  - Limited Partners are the investors
  - Advisory Board comprised of a subset of limited partners
- GP has full discretion based on certain portfolio guidelines that
  - Describe the strategy, product type, and geography
  - Establish limits of the portfolio
    - For instance, maximum exposure of 25% to Europe
- Standard Terms
  - 4 - 6 year investment period with ability to recycle capital
  - 10 year life with 2 one year extensions at the approval of the Advisory Board
  - Asset management fee of 1.5% - 2% on commitment and 20% promote over 8% hurdle with 100% catchup
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Investment Philosophy

- Private Equity is permitted as a type of equity investment under the new SAA but no longer has an 8% target
  - Instead, as a liquidity governor the SAA limits investment structures with lockups to 20% of the equity portfolio and private equity co-investments to 10% of the equity portfolio
  - We propose the Private Equity portfolio to maintain a target of 18% of Total Equity

- The team would be using in-depth macro research as well as sector bottom up research to pursue high absolute and risk-adjusted returns through cycles. This should be achieved by manager selection, while minimizing volatility and drawdowns compared to traditional equity markets
  - A bottom-up approach through diligent cross-sector, and industry research allows identification of both the best manager within each strategy, sector and subsector
  - The investable universe in the Canada, US and Europe region hosts more than 6,300 private equity managers, which makes bottom-up research a key piece of the investment strategy that would allow us to select the best potential manager within each identified sector
Private Equity offers key benefits as a form of equity ownership

Operational change is incentivized for both the company and the General Partner (GP), leading to higher IRR mainly due to higher operating income and/or multiple expansion.

Longer term time horizons for ownership and performance Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) are often more appropriate than quarterly cadence of public listings.

Small and medium sized businesses represent a large part of the economy.

Private equity outperforms public equity market returns over a long-term investment horizon.

Higher regulatory costs are leading many companies to stay private.

Higher liquidity and higher private markets multiples have contributed to make this asset class more attractive.
Investment Philosophy

We believe successful private equity investing hinges on three considerations:

- Strategy
- Organizational Dynamics
- Track record (confirmation of the first two)
ASRS favors

- Buyout strategies that emphasize organizational transformation instead of mere financial engineering
- Sector specialists in growing sectors with high revenue growth potential
- Investments in sectors impacted by regulatory change
- Investments with sponsors having specialized expertise in restructuring, bankruptcy, and turnaround situations
- Managers with a discernible edge (sourcing, operational capabilities, and capital structuring)
- Firms with a thoughtful succession plan
- Ability to provide co-investment
- ASRS invests in GPs during their third fund or thereafter

ASRS is underweight

- Venture Capital
- Europe
- Emerging Markets
Organizational Dynamics

- Although we place much emphasis on quantitative analysis to discern performance,
  - This analysis is not securities analysis
  - The new investor does not participate in the track record deals
  - Private Equity investing is best thought of as a team hiring decision

- Traditional private equity diligence places emphasis on stability,
  - But common sense suggests that the best firms will be dynamic, evolving with changing conditions, weeding out weak performers, and promoting high performers
  - Research has found that stability is a negative indicator of performance
Risk Management & Portfolio Structuring

- **Geographic Diversification**
  - Minimum 60% NAV in North America
  - Maximum of 30% NAV in Western Europe
  - Maximum of 10% of NAV in all Other regions combined; with no single Other region having more than a 5% allocation

- **Sponsor Diversification**
  - No more than 5% of NAV in one single fund
  - Maximum of 15% of NAV in one sponsor

- **Risk Management**
  - Monitoring entry and exit multiples, uses of leverage, profitability trends, and growth of portfolio companies
  - Monitoring of market and sector valuations
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Exposure by Sector

US GiCS Sector Quarterly Weights

Western Europe GiCS Sector Quarterly Weights

- Communication Services
- Consumer Discretionary
- Consumer Staples
- Energy
- Financials
- Health Care
- Industrials
- Information Technology
- Materials
- Real Estate
- Utilities
Exposure by Region

Regional Quarterly Weights

- North America
- Western Europe
- Other

Weights:
- 100%
- 75%
- 50%
- 25%
- 0%
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Net cash flow for the private equity portfolio has been positive since 2016 but, with projected decreases in distributions, cash flows are expected to be marginally positive this year and negative next year.
Cash Flows during Last Downturn

- ASRS maintains a database of over 1,100 private equity funds historical cash flows and NAVs as a byproduct of the underwriting process.

- An analysis of cash flow activity during crises, such as COVID-19, was conducted on the 2 years before and after the 2008-2009 global recession.
  - Capital calls declined significantly immediately after the Lehman bankruptcy but was back to long term averages relatively quickly.
  - Distributions declined materially and stayed depressed before slowly recovering.
Cash Flow Analysis Pre and Post Crisis
Outline

1. Review of Implementation Plan 2019
2. Structure of Equity Asset Class
3. Summary of Research
   - Domestic Equity Benchmark Selection
   - Factor Program
   - Alpha Overlay Program
   - Total Equity Portfolio Management
4. Private Equity
   - Background
   - Philosophy and Strategy
   - Portfolio Positioning
   - Cash Flow Analysis
   - Pacing Model
   - 2020 Implementation
5. Measuring & Monitoring
Compliance

- The precipitous decline in public markets during the first quarter caused the private equity portfolio to breach the 20% of total equity constraint.
- As per the strategic asset allocation, IMD staff is required to develop a plan to bring this breach into compliance within a reasonable timeframe.
- Due to the illiquid nature of private equity, there are two feasible options:
  - Liquidate by selling existing fund interests via the secondary market
    - Sub optimal due to the probable discount in normal market environments and potentially substantial discount during market dislocations
  - Reduce future commitments to bring back into compliance over time.
- Pacing model has been revised to reflect reductions in commitments over the next 4 years to ensure compliance.
- As of 6/2/2020, the increase in value of public equities since late March lows has resulted in private equity within compliance at approximately 18%
Pacing Model Background

- The private equity pacing model incorporates a number of assumptions regarding future commitment amounts, growth of those commitments, capital call pace, distributions, and future returns to forecast future cash flows, net asset values, and private equity percentage of total equity.

- The capital call model changes are modeled to mimic the capital call behavior during the GFC. Specifically, the first quarter after the Lehman brothers bankruptcy, capital calls drastically fell followed by increased activity over the next 3 quarters. The model assumes that the same percentage of commitment will be called (90% of commitment) but that the timing of those capital calls shifts. The model is consistent with analysis released by Burgiss regarding capital calls in alternative assets in the 12 months following the onset of a recession or black swan type event.
Revised Model Highlights

- Net asset values are modeled to decline by 10% in 1Q2020
  - Total return assumed to be 5.5% over the next year
  - 11% growth thereafter

- Distributions are expected to significantly decline over the next two years

- Capital calls are also expected to decline over the short term but relatively quickly returning to normal over the next year
## Model Conclusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Commitment (Millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>1001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028</td>
<td>1047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2029</td>
<td>1095</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If no new commitments were made in 2020 or 2021, private equity is projected to peak at approximately 23% of total equity based on current unfunded commitments.
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Updated Recommendations

- Reduce new commitments in 2020 from $750m to $500m
  - Model assumes increases by $100m each of the next four years followed by 4.5% thereafter
  - 2019 new commitments was $725 m

- Target a 20% Europe exposure rather than the current approximately 10% weight

- Replicate US strategy in Europe of sector specialists and/or regional specialists
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Positioning

- We monitor markets considering:
  - Valuations compared to historic means, including cyclically adjusted P/E
  - Geographical and sectoral relative valuations
  - Relative valuation across asset classes, compared to historic averages
  - Relative valuation of currency, global interest rates and credit spreads
  - Trends and momentum

- We document this analytical work in House Views which are the basis for tactical decisions to overweight or underweight

- A tactical positioning committee meets monthly (or more frequently if necessary) to review and implement tactical decisions

- While in continuous dialogue, the equity team meets weekly to discuss positioning
Monitoring

Tools and resources to measure/monitor our strategies include the following:

- State Street’s Net Asset Value (NAV) Decomposition: Decomposes internal equity portfolio returns
- Bloomberg Transaction Cost Analysis (BTCA) & IEX’s Astral: Trade cost analysis tools that calculate performance of trades versus execution benchmarks
- Barra Portfolio Manager: Decomposes returns into sectors, factors, currency and stock specific
- Performance Levers: Decomposition of daily excess returns into dollar value added (DVA)
  - 2020 levers to include: Allocation, Futures, Internal Passive and External Passive
- Cone Charts: Plots excess return and tracking error target expectations versus stated objectives
 Costs

- We are highly cognizant of costs in managing the investment program
  - We manage approximately 40% of our assets internally at effectively zero cost
  - The remainder of public market assets are managed with custom negotiated vehicle and fee structures
- Private market investments are generally implemented in strategies involving highly specialized expertise
  - When possible we aim to get fee discounts for size and timing of the close
    - Also pursue co-investments in order to reduce fees and carry
    - Opted into reduced fee side car vehicles
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DISCLOSURE

NEPC has developed reports for both the Investment Committee and Board designed to 1) provide the appropriate level of investment information for the purposes of independent oversight (ASRS SAAP compliance, Asset Class Committee minutes review, investment selection due diligence packet compliance and oversight of the investment program data used to compile NEPC and ASRS reporting); 2) provide ASRS investment program performance relative to its goals/objectives (presented quarterly); and 3) communicate NEPC’s perspectives on the market environment, investment outlook or other initiatives or topics they believe are important to convey to the Board.

NEPC has completed a quarter-end quality control process and warrants that IMD Staff materials are accurate subject to the following process:

– Investment results were calculated using data provided by the Plan’s custodian bank that is deemed “final” as of March 31, 2020.

– Investment performance oversight includes reconciliation and confirmation of portfolio level valuations, cash flows, transactions and composite construction including interpretation of investment accounting methods used to track IMD Staff instructed activities.

– Oversight of performance calculation includes verification of Staff data used to produce reporting as well verification of processes and procedures in custom investment performance calculations.

– NEPC performed tests of the data produced by IMD Staff and the Plan’s custodian bank (book of record) using underlying financial records provided by the custodian bank and IMD Staff. The net effect of uncorrected misstatements is immaterial, and has been brought to the attention of IMD Staff.
ASRS INVESTMENT OBJECTIVES/PERFORMANCE

Note: All of the data shown on the following pages is as of March 31, 2020 and reflects the deduction of investment manager fees, unless otherwise noted.
ASRS INVESTMENT OBJECTIVES

**Goal #4: Design, implement, and maintain an investment management program that maximizes rates of return for acceptable levels of risk.**

a) Develop, approve and implement an Asset Allocation program that is expected to achieve a 20-year rolling average annual return at or above the actuarial assumed return  
   **Goal met: Yes**

b) Achieve a total fund net return in the top quartile of the peer universe  
   **Goal met: Yes**

c) Achieve a 1 year total fund net return greater than the Strategic Asset Allocation Benchmark  
   **Goal met: No**

d) Achieve a 3-year total fund net return greater than the Strategic Asset Allocation Benchmark  
   **Goal met: Yes**

e) Achieve 1 year asset class net returns greater than the respective Asset Allocation Benchmarks  
   **Goal met: Partial**

f) Achieve 3 year asset class net returns greater than the respective Asset Allocation Benchmarks  
   **Goal met: Partial**

g) Sufficient cash will be maintained to meet all payment requirements  
   **Goal met: Yes**

*Source: ASRS Strategic Plan, August 2018*
# Arizona State Retirement System

## TOTAL FUND PERFORMANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3 Mo</th>
<th>Fiscal YTD</th>
<th>1 Yr</th>
<th>3 Yrs</th>
<th>5 Yrs</th>
<th>10 Yrs</th>
<th>Inception</th>
<th>Inception Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Fund</td>
<td>-9.2%</td>
<td>-4.1%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>Jul-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim SAA Policy</td>
<td>-8.4%</td>
<td>-3.2%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>Jul-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Return</td>
<td>-0.8%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Composition of SAA Policy can be found in the appendix.
UNIVERSE COMPARISON

InvMetrics Public DB > $1B Net Return Comparison
Ending March 31, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>1 Year</th>
<th>3 Years</th>
<th>5 Years</th>
<th>10 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Return (Rank)</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th Percentile</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th Percentile</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>-6.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75th Percentile</td>
<td>-9.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95th Percentile</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of Portfolios</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 Year</th>
<th>3 Years</th>
<th>5 Years</th>
<th>10 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Fund</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fund Percentile Rank</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fund Ordinal Rank</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Funds In Universe</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim SAA Policy</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UNIVERSE COMPARISON

Universes are constructed using net of fee returns; therefore, ASRS rank is based on net of fee returns. Rankings are from highest (1) to lowest (100) in the InvMetrics Public Funds > $1 Billion Net Universe. The InvMetrics Public Funds > $1 Billion Net Universe contains 62 observations for the period ending March 31, 2020 with total assets of $437.7 billion.
UNIVERSE COMPARISON

Total Fund Return | 4.9 | 6.8 | 0.5 | 0.8
Percentile Rank   | 5   | 13  | 8   | 4
Ordinal Rank      | 3   | 8   | 5   | 2

Interim SAA Policy Return
Percentile Rank   | 22  | 12  | 20  | 6
Ordinal Rank      | 14  | 7   | 12  | 4

Univeses are constructed using net of fee returns; therefore, ASRS rank is based on net of fee returns.
Rankings are from highest (1) to lowest (100) in the InvMetrics Public Funds $1 Billion Net Universe.
The InvMetrics Public Funds $1 Billion Net Universe contains 62 observations for the period ending March 31, 2020 with total assets of $437.7 billion.
UNIVERSE COMPARISON

Universes are constructed using net of fee returns; therefore, ASRS rank is based on net of fee returns. Rankings are from highest (1) to lowest (100) in the InvMetrics Public Funds > $1 Billion Net Universe. The InvMetrics Public Funds > $1 Billion Net Universe contains 62 observations for the period ending March 31, 2020 with total assets of $437.7 billion.
UNIVERSE COMPARISON

Universes are constructed using net of fee returns; therefore, ASRS rank is based on net of fee returns. Rankings are from highest (1) to lowest (100) in the InvMetrics Public Funds > $1 Billion Net Universe. The InvMetrics Public Funds > $1 Billion Net Universe contains 62 observations for the period ending March 31, 2020 with total assets of $437.7 billion.
# ASSET CLASS PERFORMANCE VS. BENCHMARK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Class</th>
<th>1 Year Return</th>
<th>3 Year Return</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Equity (TWR)</td>
<td>-9.1%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom Total Equity Benchmark (TWR)</td>
<td>-5.9%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Return</td>
<td>-3.2%</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Rate Sensitive (TWR)</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloomberg Barclays US Aggregate Bond Index (TWR)</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Return</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Credit (TWR)</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom Credit Benchmark (TWR)</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Return</td>
<td>-2.1%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate (IRR)</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom Real Estate Benchmark (IRR)</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Return</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Composition of ASRS Custom Asset Class Benchmarks can be found in the appendix.
CASH MANAGEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>External CFs</th>
<th>Last day of the Month Ending Balance*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mar - 19</td>
<td>($86.1)</td>
<td>$453.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr – 19</td>
<td>($105.8)</td>
<td>$368.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May – 19</td>
<td>($52.9)</td>
<td>$175.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun - 19</td>
<td>($97.3)</td>
<td>$368.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul – 19</td>
<td>($163.9)</td>
<td>$465.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug – 19</td>
<td>($108.9)</td>
<td>$467.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep - 19</td>
<td>($108.2)</td>
<td>$365.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct – 19</td>
<td>($87.3)</td>
<td>$676.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov – 19</td>
<td>($96.1)</td>
<td>$404.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec - 19</td>
<td>($75.4)</td>
<td>$521.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan – 20</td>
<td>($63.6)</td>
<td>$412.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb – 20</td>
<td>($107.4)</td>
<td>$376.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar - 20</td>
<td>($95.8)</td>
<td>$730.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes assetized & unassetized cash balances (Inception of 1/26/15); represents monies to be used for funding needs that occur in subsequent month(s). Generally, monthly pension payments occur on the first day of month.
INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT/COMPLIANCE

Note: All of the data shown on the following pages is as of March 31, 2020 and reflects the deduction of investment manager fees, unless otherwise noted.
### SAA Policy Compliance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Current Mkt Value</th>
<th>Current Allocation</th>
<th>Interim SAAP</th>
<th>Interim SAAP Difference</th>
<th>Policy Range</th>
<th>Within Range</th>
<th>SAAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Equity</td>
<td>$19,227,399,522</td>
<td>49.6%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>-4.9%</td>
<td>35% - 65%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Rate Sensitive</td>
<td>$3,664,439,470</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
<td>0% - 20%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit</td>
<td>$8,708,789,711</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>10% - 30%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>$6,725,724,576</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>10% - 30%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$364,818</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0% - 10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$430,389,497</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0% - 5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$38,757,107,594</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
Values shown for private markets portfolios include cash flows that occurred during 1Q 2020.
Total Equity market value includes futures positions.

*Interim SAA Policy includes proration of unfunded Credit assets and unfunded in Real Estate assets. According to policy, the proration is applied 83.3% to Total Equity and 16.7% to Interest Rate Sensitive.*

*Policy Ranges shown are relative to the long-term SAAP and may cause some asset classes to be out of range while implementation of the long-term SAAP is in progress.*

*Market values include manager held cash.*
# Performance Summary - Public Markets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Market Value ($)</th>
<th>% of Portfolio</th>
<th>3 Mo (%)</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Fiscal YTD (%)</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>1 Yr (%)</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>3 Yrs (%)</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>5 Yrs (%)</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Inception (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Fund</strong></td>
<td>38,757,107,594</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>-9.2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim SAA Policy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-8.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-12.6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Public Equity</strong></td>
<td>14,929,643,222</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>-23.4</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>-16.6</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>-13.8</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASRS Custom Total Public Equity Benchmark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-22.4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-15.4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-12.4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-22.9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-16.1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-13.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Domestic Equity</strong></td>
<td>8,458,504,004</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>-23.0</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>-15.1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-11.7</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASRS Custom Domestic Equity Benchmark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-20.9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-12.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-9.2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-22.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-15.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-11.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total International Equity</strong></td>
<td>6,471,099,796</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>-23.9</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-18.5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-16.4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASRS Custom Intl Equity Benchmark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-24.1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>-18.5</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>-16.3</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-24.1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-17.9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-15.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interest Rate Sensitive Fixed Income</strong></td>
<td>3,664,439,470</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBgBarc US Aggregate TR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td>364,818</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: See appendix for custom benchmark definitions.
## PERFORMANCE SUMMARY – PRIVATE MARKETS ONE QUARTER LAGGED IRR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Market Value ($)</th>
<th>% of Portfolio</th>
<th>3 Mo (%)</th>
<th>1 Yr (%)</th>
<th>3 Yrs (%)</th>
<th>5 Yrs (%)</th>
<th>10 Yrs (%)</th>
<th>Since</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Fund (TWR)</strong></td>
<td>38,757,107,594</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-9.2</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>Jul-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim SAA Policy</td>
<td>-8.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>Jul-75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over/Under</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Private Equity (IRR)</strong></td>
<td>4,297,756,300</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCI ACWI Blended</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over/Under</td>
<td>-8.2</td>
<td>-16.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Credit (IRR)</strong></td>
<td>8,708,789,711</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P LSTA/Leveraged Loan Index + 2.5%</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over/Under</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Real Estate (IRR)</strong></td>
<td>6,725,724,576</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCREIF ODCE 1 QTR Lagged (net)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over/Under</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: See appendix for custom benchmark definitions
Private Equity, Credit sub-asset classes and Real Estate returns are money-weighted (IRR) and one-quarter lagged
Market Values include cash flows within 1Q 2020
## PUBLIC MARKET ASSET CLASS ANALYSIS

### 3 Years Ending March 31, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Class</th>
<th>% of Tot</th>
<th>Anlzd Ret</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Anlzd Std Dev</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Tracking Error</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Info Ratio</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Anlzd AJ</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Sharpe Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Public Equity</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>-1.0%</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASRS Custom Total Public Equity</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Domestic Equity</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASRS Custom Domestic Equity</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total International Equity</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>-2.2%</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASRS Custom Int'l Equity</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-2.2%</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Rate Sensitive Fixed Income</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBgBarc US Aggregate TR</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Performance is reported net of fees.
Underlying composites do not add up to 100% because the chart excludes private market composites.
Ranks for statistics shown above are based on the respective universe against which the portfolio is ranked on the asset class performance summary that precedes this section of the analysis.
Rankings are from highest (1) to lowest (100) in the eVestment Universe.
Composition of Interim SAA Policy and ASRS Custom Benchmarks can be found in the appendix.
**Arizona State Retirement System**

**PUBLIC MARKET ASSET CLASS ANALYSIS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5 Years Ending March 31, 2020</th>
<th>% of Tot</th>
<th>Anlzd Ret</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Anlzd Std Dev</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Tracking Error</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Info Ratio</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Anlzd AJ</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Sharpe Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Public Equity</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASRS Custom Total Public</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity Benchmark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Domestic Equity</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASRS Custom Domestic Equity</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total International Equity</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASRS Custom Int'l Equity</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Rate Sensitive Fixed</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBgBarc US Aggregate TR</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Performance is reported net of fees.
Underlying composites do not add up to 100% because the chart excludes private market composites.
Ranks for statistics shown above are based on the respective universe against which the portfolio is ranked on the asset class performance summary that precedes this section of the analysis.
Rankings are from highest (1) to lowest (100) in the eVestment Universe.
Composition of Interim SAA Policy and ASRS Custom Benchmarks can be found in the appendix.
ASSET CLASS COMMITTEE MEETING

- **March 24, 2020 – Combined Asset Class Committee**
  - Real Estate manager recommendation ($125mm)
    - Extension consultant and staff recommendation to commit new capital to an existing investment management relationship
    - Due diligence process was followed in accordance with SIP 006 – Investment Manager, Partner, and Co-Investment Selection and Oversight
    - Committee approved the recommendation
  - Real Estate manager recommendation ($325mm)
    - Extension consultant and staff recommendation to commit new capital to an existing investment management relationship
    - Due diligence process was followed in accordance with SIP 006 – Investment Manager, Partner, and Co-Investment Selection and Oversight
    - Committee approved the recommendation

- **March 31, 2020 – Combined Asset Class Committee**
  - Credit manager investment recommendation ($100mm)
    - Staff recommendation to reallocate committed capital to an existing investment manager relationship
    - Due diligence process was followed in accordance with SIP 006 – Investment Manager, Partner, and Co-Investment Selection and Oversight
    - Committee approved the recommendation
  - Real Estate manager recommendation
    - Extension consultant and staff recommendation to sell an asset
    - Due diligence process was followed in accordance with SIP 006 – Investment Manager, Partner, and Co-Investment Selection and Oversight
    - Committee approved the recommendation
ASSET CLASS COMMITTEE MEETING

- **April 14, 2020 – Combined Asset Class Committee**
  - Total Fund portfolio positioning and performance discussion
  - Cash flow forecasting and liquidity analysis reviewed
  - Monthly Real Estate report reviewed
  - Private Equity implementation plan for 2020
    - Staff recommendation to implement private equity according to strategic initiatives outlined in the plan
    - The committee approved strategic implementation plan
    - Due diligence process was followed in accordance with SIP 006 – Investment Manager, Partner, and Co-Investment Selection and Oversight

- **April 28, 2020 – Combined Asset Class Committee**
  - Public Equity manager recommendation
    - Staff recommendation to commit new capital to a new external investment management relationship
    - The committee approved strategic implementation plan
    - Due diligence process was followed in accordance with SIP 006 – Investment Manager, Partner, and Co-Investment Selection and Oversight
  - Public Equity software acquisition and implementation
    - Staff recommendation to implement software to integrate operational systems and streamline manual processes
    - Due diligence process was followed in accordance with SIP 006 – Investment Manager, Partner, and Co-Investment Selection and Oversight
    - The committee approved the acquisition
  - Real Estate manager recommendation ($25mm)
    - Staff recommendation to commit new capital to an existing investment manager relationship
    - Due diligence process was followed in accordance with SIP 006 – Investment Manager, Partner, and Co-Investment Selection and Oversight
    - Committee approved the recommendation
  - Real Estate strategic discussion and plan positioning discussion
• **May 5, 2020 – Combined Asset Class Committee**
  – Real Estate monthly report reviewed and public equity positioning reviewed
  – Private Equity manager recommendation ($100mm)
    • Staff recommendation to commit new capital to an existing investment manager relationship
    • Due diligence process was followed in accordance with SIP 006 – Investment Manager, Partner, and Co-Investment Selection and Oversight
    • Committee approved the recommendation

• **May 6, 2020 – Combined Asset Class Committee**
  – Real Estate manager recommendation ($1.9mm)
    • Staff recommendation to commit new capital to an existing investment manager relationship
    • Due diligence process was followed in accordance with SIP 006 – Investment Manager, Partner, and Co-Investment Selection and Oversight
    • Committee approved the recommendation

• **May 13, 2020 – Combined Asset Class Committee**
  – Real Estate manager recommendation
    • Extension consultant and staff recommendation to sell an asset
    • Due diligence process was followed in accordance with SIP 006 – Investment Manager, Partner, and Co-Investment Selection and Oversight
    • Committee approved the recommendation
  – Real Estate manager recommendation ($400mm)
    • Extension consultant and staff recommendation to commit new capital to a new investment management relationship
    • Due diligence process was followed in accordance with SIP 006 – Investment Manager, Partner, and Co-Investment Selection and Oversight
    • Committee approved the recommendation
May 26, 2020 – Combined Asset Class Committee

- Private Equity manager recommendation ($75mm)
  - Staff recommendation to commit new capital to an existing investment manager relationship
  - Due diligence process was followed in accordance with SIP 006 – Investment Manager, Partner, and Co-Investment Selection and Oversight
  - Committee approved the recommendation
- Public Equity asset class implementation plan for 2020
  - Staff recommendation to implement private equity according to strategic initiatives outlined in the plan
  - The committee asked for revisions to the strategic implementation plan and postponed a motion to approve the plan
GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

• **Staff continues to be proactive with driving change in internally managed portfolios and driving operational efficiency**
  – This includes reviewing and revising strategic plans, implementing new strategic initiatives and buying software that further integrates and streamlines the investment operation

• **The Plan has experienced strong outperformance versus the SAAP in the short-run and over longer periods**
  – Strong performance in private markets is driving the outcome and suggests strong implementation

• **The active manager Public Equity program has contributed negatively to performance over the past five years**
  – Longer-term (5 year) Public Equity relative performance contributing negatively to relative performance; strategic plans in 2020 are aimed at addressing this underperformance

• **Private markets contributing to positive longer-term results**
  – Real Estate is contributing positively to relative performance over the long-term (5 years and longer)
  – Private Equity program has contributed positively in absolute terms
  – Credit NAV continues to grow and is only 0.8% underweight vs SAAP; Credit is expected to grow to an overweight over the next couple of years
  – Real Estate as an asset class is 2.6% underweight (based on NAV) but has approximately $4.2 billion in remaining capital commitments as of Q4 2019 (or approximately 10.9% of Total Fund NAV); strategic implementation plan accounts for shorter-term underweight positioning

• **Current positioning is consistent with IMD House Views and strategic implementation plans**
INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT/COMPLIANCE: LTD

Note: All of the data shown on the following pages is as of March 31, 2020 and reflects the deduction of investment manager fees, unless otherwise noted.
LONG TERM DISABILITY PERFORMANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>1 Year</th>
<th>3 Years</th>
<th>5 Years</th>
<th>10 Years</th>
<th>Since Inception (July-02)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long Term Disability</td>
<td>-9.2%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTD SAA Policy¹</td>
<td>-8.4%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Return</td>
<td>-0.8%</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹LTD SAA Policy composition can be found in the appendix.
MARKET ENVIRONMENT UPDATE AND OUTLOOK
ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT

- **Q1 Real GDP (advance estimate) decreased at an annual rate of 4.8%**.
  - Retail sales ended January at +4.5% on a YoY basis. In the same period last year the YoY growth rate was 1.9%.
  - Corporate profits (ended September) as a percent of GDP increased to 8.8% from 8.5% (in June) and remain elevated relative to historical levels.
  - The inventory-to-sales ratio ended January flat at 1.4 from December levels and has remained relatively constant since early 2010.
  - The U.S. trade deficit fell ended January (-6.7%) as imports fell and exports fell due to the coronavirus.

- **The unemployment rate ticked up to 4.4% ended March, up from 3.6% in Q4; U-6, a broader measure of unemployment, increased to 8.7% in Q1 from 6.7% in Q4.**

- **The Case-Shiller Home Price Index (ended February) increased to 213.2 from 212.4 (in December) and remains at levels higher than that of pre-financial crisis levels of 150.9.**

- **Rolling 12-month seasonally-adjusted CPI saw a down-tick to 1.52% in Q1 from 2.29% ended Q4; Capacity Utilization decreased to 72.7% from 77.1% in Q1.**

- **Fed Funds rate was cut 1.50% to a targeted range of 0.00%-to-0.25%. The 10-year Treasury Yield (constant maturity) finished Q1 down to 0.9% from 1.9%.**

- **The Fed announced an unlimited expansion of the balance sheet to support the US economy. This move expanded on previous announcements for smaller QE denominations**

- **S&P valuations decreased in Q1 to 24.9x, and is lower than the 10-year average of 25.8x.**
  - Cyclically adjusted Shiller PE ratio remains above the long-term average of 16.7x
# MARKET ENVIRONMENT – Q1 2020 OVERVIEW

## World Equity Benchmarks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Qtr.</th>
<th>1 Yr.</th>
<th>3 Yr.</th>
<th>5 Yr.</th>
<th>10 Yr.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSCI ACWI IMI (Net)</td>
<td>-22.44%</td>
<td>-12.73%</td>
<td>0.76%</td>
<td>2.45%</td>
<td>5.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCI ACWI Net (USD)</td>
<td>-21.37%</td>
<td>-11.26%</td>
<td>1.50%</td>
<td>2.85%</td>
<td>5.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCI ACWI (Local)</td>
<td>-19.97%</td>
<td>-9.87%</td>
<td>1.69%</td>
<td>3.14%</td>
<td>6.80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Domestic Equity Benchmarks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Qtr.</th>
<th>1 Yr.</th>
<th>3 Yr.</th>
<th>5 Yr.</th>
<th>10 Yr.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Russell 3000 Domestic All Cap</td>
<td>-20.90%</td>
<td>-9.13%</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>5.77%</td>
<td>10.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P 500</td>
<td>-19.60%</td>
<td>-6.98%</td>
<td>5.10%</td>
<td>6.73%</td>
<td>10.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell 1000</td>
<td>-20.22%</td>
<td>-8.03%</td>
<td>4.64%</td>
<td>6.22%</td>
<td>10.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell 1000 Growth</td>
<td>-14.10%</td>
<td>0.91%</td>
<td>11.32%</td>
<td>10.36%</td>
<td>12.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell 1000 Value</td>
<td>-26.73%</td>
<td>-17.17%</td>
<td>-2.18%</td>
<td>1.90%</td>
<td>7.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell 2000</td>
<td>-30.61%</td>
<td>-23.99%</td>
<td>-4.64%</td>
<td>-0.25%</td>
<td>6.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell 2000 Growth</td>
<td>-25.77%</td>
<td>-18.58%</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
<td>1.70%</td>
<td>8.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell 2000 Value</td>
<td>-35.66%</td>
<td>-29.64%</td>
<td>-9.51%</td>
<td>-2.42%</td>
<td>4.79%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## International Equity Benchmarks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Qtr.</th>
<th>1 Yr.</th>
<th>3 Yr.</th>
<th>5 Yr.</th>
<th>10 Yr.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSCI ACWI Ex USA World ex-US</td>
<td>-23.36%</td>
<td>-15.57%</td>
<td>-1.96%</td>
<td>-0.64%</td>
<td>2.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCI EAFE Net (USD) Int’l Developed</td>
<td>-22.83%</td>
<td>-14.38%</td>
<td>-1.82%</td>
<td>-0.62%</td>
<td>2.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCI EAFE (Local) Int’l Developed (Local Currency)</td>
<td>-20.55%</td>
<td>-12.58%</td>
<td>-1.80%</td>
<td>-0.15%</td>
<td>4.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCI EAFE Small Cap Small Cap Int’l</td>
<td>-27.52%</td>
<td>-18.15%</td>
<td>-2.88%</td>
<td>0.97%</td>
<td>4.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCI Emerging Markets Emerging Equity</td>
<td>-23.60%</td>
<td>-17.69%</td>
<td>-1.62%</td>
<td>-0.37%</td>
<td>0.68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Domestic Fixed Income Benchmarks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Qtr.</th>
<th>1 Yr.</th>
<th>3 Yr.</th>
<th>5 Yr.</th>
<th>10 Yr.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barclays Aggregate Core Bonds</td>
<td>3.15%</td>
<td>8.93%</td>
<td>4.82%</td>
<td>3.36%</td>
<td>3.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays US High Yield High Yield</td>
<td>-12.69%</td>
<td>-6.94%</td>
<td>0.76%</td>
<td>2.78%</td>
<td>5.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BoFA ML US HY BB/B High Yield</td>
<td>-11.88%</td>
<td>-5.46%</td>
<td>1.31%</td>
<td>2.92%</td>
<td>5.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSFB Levered Loans Bank Loans</td>
<td>-13.19%</td>
<td>-9.51%</td>
<td>-0.73%</td>
<td>1.21%</td>
<td>3.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BoFA ML US 3-Month T-Bill Cash</td>
<td>0.57%</td>
<td>2.26%</td>
<td>1.83%</td>
<td>1.19%</td>
<td>0.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays US TIPS 1-10 Yr Inflation</td>
<td>0.31%</td>
<td>4.50%</td>
<td>2.54%</td>
<td>2.16%</td>
<td>2.52%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Global Fixed Income Benchmarks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Qtr.</th>
<th>1 Yr.</th>
<th>3 Yr.</th>
<th>5 Yr.</th>
<th>10 Yr.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barclays Global Aggregate Global Core Bonds</td>
<td>-9.33%</td>
<td>4.20%</td>
<td>3.55%</td>
<td>2.64%</td>
<td>2.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTSE WGBI World Gov. Bonds</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>6.17%</td>
<td>4.27%</td>
<td>2.96%</td>
<td>2.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC Global Credit Global Bonds</td>
<td>-4.68%</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>3.09%</td>
<td>2.42%</td>
<td>3.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPM GBI-EM Glob. Diversified Em. Mkt. Bonds (Local Currency)</td>
<td>-15.21%</td>
<td>-6.52%</td>
<td>-0.80%</td>
<td>0.25%</td>
<td>0.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPM EMBI+ Em. Mkt. Bonds</td>
<td>-8.67%</td>
<td>-3.13%</td>
<td>0.53%</td>
<td>2.93%</td>
<td>4.77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Alternative Benchmarks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Qtr.</th>
<th>1 Yr.</th>
<th>3 Yr.</th>
<th>5 Yr.</th>
<th>10 Yr.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bloomberg Commodity Index Commodity</td>
<td>-23.29%</td>
<td>-22.31%</td>
<td>-8.61%</td>
<td>-7.76%</td>
<td>-6.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFRI Fund of Funds Composite Index Fund of Hedge Funds</td>
<td>-7.30%</td>
<td>-3.94%</td>
<td>0.50%</td>
<td>0.33%</td>
<td>1.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge PE Lagged Private Equity</td>
<td>4.03%</td>
<td>14.33%</td>
<td>14.07%</td>
<td>12.14%</td>
<td>13.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCREIF ODCE Net Lagged Real Estate</td>
<td>1.27%</td>
<td>4.39%</td>
<td>6.13%</td>
<td>7.99%</td>
<td>10.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilshire REIT Index REIT</td>
<td>-25.63%</td>
<td>-19.39%</td>
<td>-2.50%</td>
<td>-0.19%</td>
<td>7.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI + 2%</td>
<td>0.31%</td>
<td>3.55%</td>
<td>3.96%</td>
<td>3.84%</td>
<td>3.76%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* As of 12/31/2019
GLOBAL ECONOMY EXPECTED TO CONTRACT

Source: Markit, FactSet
## MONETARY AND FISCAL RESPONSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fed Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fed Rate Cuts</td>
<td>The Fed cut rates in two emergency sessions by a total of 1.50% to a range of 0.00% - 0.25%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Sheet Expansion</td>
<td>The Fed announced an unlimited expansion of the balance sheet to support the US economy. This move expanded on previous announcements for smaller QE denominations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquidity Support Facilities</td>
<td>The Fed announced additional measures to ensure market liquidity, including facilities to support money market funds, commercial paper, and broad credit support systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Support Facilities</td>
<td>The Fed established programs aimed at supporting consumers and businesses, such as the Term Asset-Backed Securities Loan Facility (TALF) and a Main Street Business Lending Program. These programs provide preferential borrower agreements, such as deferred interest and principal payments, for consumers and small businesses.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>US Government Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Families First Coronavirus Response Act</td>
<td>The bill was passed to provide sick leave, expand food assistance and unemployment benefits, and provide additional protection for health care employees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Stimulus Package</td>
<td>Congress is finalizing a $2 trillion economic stimulus package aimed at providing direct financial assistance, expanded benefits programs, and loans to individuals, small businesses, and industries impacted by COVID-19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CORRELATIONS AND VOLATILITY ARE ELEVATED

Source: CBOE, FactSet
Data as of 3/31/2020

VIX: S&P 500 (LHS)
TYVIX: 10 Yr Treasury (RHS)

Current VIX: 53.5
Current TYVIX: 8.4
ABNORMALLY LARGE PRICE MOVEMENTS

The S&P 500 Index reported eight consecutive trading days that fell outside +/- 4 standard deviations in March. The 9% gain on March 24th was an 8 standard deviation move.

Source: S&P, FactSet
Calculated as 1/04/28 - 3/31/2020
US EQUITY DURING MARKET DELEVERAGING

Source: S&P, Dow Jones, FactSet

U.S. TREASURY YIELDS ARE STRESSED

Source: FactSet

Data as of 3/31/2020

US Treasury Yield

10 Year Treasury
2 Year Treasury

Source: FactSet
Data as of 3/31/2020
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CREDIT SPREADS HAVE WIDENED

Source: Bloomberg, FactSet
Data as of 3/31/2020
1 YEAR ATTRIBUTION OF S&P 500 RETURNS

Sources of Return - S&P 500

Q2 2019: Dividend Yield 3.36%, Inflation -0.51%, Real Earnings -0.10%
Q3 2019: Dividend Yield 2.27%, Inflation -0.42%, Real Earnings -1.52%
Q4 2019: Dividend Yield 7.12%, Inflation 0.60%, Real Earnings 0.46%
Q1 2020: Dividend Yield 9.58%, Inflation 1.52%, Real Earnings -1.15%, Total Return -19.80%
1 Year: Dividend Yield -9.18%, Inflation 2.00%, Real Earnings -1.10%, Total Return -19.60%

Legend:
- Green: Dividend Yield
- Yellow: Inflation
- Blue: Real Earnings
- Black: Valuation (P/E)
- Brown: Residual
- Gold: Total Return
1 YEAR ATTRIBUTION OF MSCI EAFE RETURNS

Sources of Return - MSCI EAFE

Spot Rates
1 USD = 0.880 Euro  
1 USD = 0.917 Euro  
1 USD = 0.891 Euro  
1 USD = 0.901 Euro
INFLATION

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, FactSet

Source: FactSet
ECONOMIC INDICATORS

Annual Real GDP Growth

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis, Oxford Economics, FactSet

OECD Leading Indicators

Source: OECD, FactSet
GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT

**US Quarterly Real GDP Growth**

**EM vs EM Asia GDP Growth**

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis, FactSet

Source: IMF, FactSet
GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT METRICS

Government Debt-to-GDP Ratios

Current Account Balance as % of GDP

Source: IMF, FactSet
Source: FactSet
CENTRAL BANK RATES

Source: Federal Reserve, ECB, Bank of Japan, Bank of England, FactSet
CENTRAL BANK BALANCE SHEETS

Source: FactSet
## CURRENCIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Currencies</th>
<th>Spot</th>
<th>1 Month</th>
<th>YTD</th>
<th>1 Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>-0.11%</td>
<td>-2.25%</td>
<td>-2.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Pound</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>-2.92%</td>
<td>-6.40%</td>
<td>-4.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese Yen</td>
<td>107.96</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
<td>-0.66%</td>
<td>-2.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swiss Franc</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-0.04%</td>
<td>-0.08%</td>
<td>-2.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Dollar</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>-5.07%</td>
<td>-12.93%</td>
<td>-13.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Yuan</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>1.47%</td>
<td>1.83%</td>
<td>5.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazilian Real</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>14.96%</td>
<td>28.93%</td>
<td>33.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Ruble</td>
<td>78.13</td>
<td>16.27%</td>
<td>25.80%</td>
<td>18.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Rupee</td>
<td>75.65</td>
<td>4.83%</td>
<td>5.99%</td>
<td>9.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican Peso</td>
<td>23.46</td>
<td>18.50%</td>
<td>24.23%</td>
<td>20.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South African Rand</td>
<td>17.86</td>
<td>13.53%</td>
<td>27.72%</td>
<td>23.85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FactSet

**USD Trade-Weighted Index**

- Weakening dollar positively impacts international investments
- Strengthening dollar negatively impacts international unhedged investments

Source: FactSet
VOLATILITY

Equity Volatility Index (VIX)

Treasury Volatility Index (TYVIX)

Source: CBOE, FactSet
EQUITY INDEX PERFORMANCE

**US Style Returns**

- Monthly Return
- Trailing 12M Return

**International Style Returns**

- Monthly Return
- Trailing 12M Return

**Country-Specific Index Performance**

- Monthly Return
- Trailing 12M Return

Source: MSCI, FactSet

Represents returns in USD.
### INDEX COMPOSITION

#### S&P 500

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>MTD</th>
<th>QTD</th>
<th>YTD</th>
<th>Index Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P 500</td>
<td>-12.4%</td>
<td>-19.6%</td>
<td>-19.6%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Services</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
<td>-1.8%</td>
<td>-1.8%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Discretionary</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>-1.8%</td>
<td>-1.8%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Staples</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financials</td>
<td>-2.5%</td>
<td>-4.0%</td>
<td>-4.0%</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care</td>
<td>-0.8%</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrials</td>
<td>-1.7%</td>
<td>-2.4%</td>
<td>-2.4%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
<td>-2.7%</td>
<td>-2.7%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### MSCI ACWI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>MTD</th>
<th>QTD</th>
<th>YTD</th>
<th>Index Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSCI ACWI</td>
<td>-13.5%</td>
<td>-21.4%</td>
<td>-21.4%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Services</td>
<td>-1.0%</td>
<td>-1.4%</td>
<td>-1.4%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Discretionary</td>
<td>-1.4%</td>
<td>-2.2%</td>
<td>-2.2%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Staples</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>-2.1%</td>
<td>-2.1%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financials</td>
<td>-3.6%</td>
<td>-5.3%</td>
<td>-5.3%</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
<td>-1.4%</td>
<td>-1.4%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrials</td>
<td>-1.8%</td>
<td>-2.7%</td>
<td>-2.7%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td>-1.6%</td>
<td>-2.3%</td>
<td>-2.3%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MSCI ACWI Weights

- **US**: 55.85%
- **EAFE**: 32.35%
- **EM**: 11.80%

Source: MSCI, FactSet
US EQUITY VALUATIONS

Source: S&P, FactSet
EM EQUITY VALUATIONS

Source: MSCI, FactSet
CREDIT
## FIXED INCOME CHARACTERISTICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Averages</th>
<th>Total Returns (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yield to Worst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays Aggregate</td>
<td>1.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays Treasury</td>
<td>0.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays Agency</td>
<td>0.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays MBS</td>
<td>1.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays ABS</td>
<td>2.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays CMBS</td>
<td>1.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays Corp IG</td>
<td>3.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays Muni</td>
<td>2.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays HY Muni</td>
<td>5.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays TIPS</td>
<td>0.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays HY</td>
<td>9.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays Global Agg</td>
<td>1.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPM EMBI Glob Div</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPM GBI - EM</td>
<td>4.61%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Barclays, JP Morgan, FactSet
**TREASURIES**

### US Treasury Curves

![US Treasury Curves chart](chart)

**Source:** FactSet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Total Return (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yield (%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Current</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3M Treasury</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6M Treasury</td>
<td>0.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Y Treasury</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5Y Treasury</td>
<td>0.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10Y Treasury</td>
<td>0.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30Y Treasury</td>
<td>1.32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** FactSet
### REAL YIELDS

#### US Real Yield Curves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>1 Month Ago</th>
<th>12 Months Ago</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>12 Months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-Year</td>
<td>-0.12%</td>
<td>-0.44%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
<td>0.49%</td>
<td>1.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Year</td>
<td>-0.16%</td>
<td>-0.37%</td>
<td>0.48%</td>
<td>0.71%</td>
<td>1.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Year</td>
<td>-0.17%</td>
<td>-0.28%</td>
<td>0.53%</td>
<td>0.85%</td>
<td>1.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-Year</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>0.89%</td>
<td>1.16%</td>
<td>1.93%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FactSet
NOMINAL YIELDS

Source: FactSet

10-2 Spreads

US
Germany
UK
Japan

Source: FactSet
CREDIT SPREADS

Source: Barclays, FactSet
## LONG DURATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>1 Month Ago</th>
<th>12 Months Ago</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barclays Long Treasury</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays 20+ STRIPS</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays Long Credit</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays Long Gov/Credit</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclays Long Corp A+</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Barclays, FactSet
REAL ASSETS
# REAL ASSETS INDEX PERFORMANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>1 Month</th>
<th>3 Month</th>
<th>YTD</th>
<th>1 Year</th>
<th>3 Year</th>
<th>5 Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bloomberg Commodity Index</strong></td>
<td>-12.8%</td>
<td>-23.3%</td>
<td>-23.3%</td>
<td>-22.2%</td>
<td>-8.6%</td>
<td>-7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bloomberg Sub Agriculture Index</strong></td>
<td>-3.3%</td>
<td>-9.9%</td>
<td>-9.9%</td>
<td>-5.3%</td>
<td>-9.1%</td>
<td>-7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>-9.4%</td>
<td>-9.4%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>-14.4%</td>
<td>-11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>-7.4%</td>
<td>-12.9%</td>
<td>-12.9%</td>
<td>-11.8%</td>
<td>-12.0%</td>
<td>-11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton</td>
<td>-16.8%</td>
<td>-26.4%</td>
<td>-26.4%</td>
<td>-35.0%</td>
<td>-12.6%</td>
<td>-4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>-8.2%</td>
<td>-8.2%</td>
<td>-6.7%</td>
<td>-7.4%</td>
<td>-5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean Oil</td>
<td>-5.8%</td>
<td>-23.0%</td>
<td>-23.0%</td>
<td>-8.8%</td>
<td>-8.7%</td>
<td>-6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>-26.3%</td>
<td>-20.0%</td>
<td>-20.0%</td>
<td>-22.8%</td>
<td>-19.4%</td>
<td>-8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
<td>-7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bloomberg Sub Energy</strong></td>
<td>-35.1%</td>
<td>-51.1%</td>
<td>-51.1%</td>
<td>-52.6%</td>
<td>-19.8%</td>
<td>-18.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brent Crude</td>
<td>-43.2%</td>
<td>-56.3%</td>
<td>-56.3%</td>
<td>-52.4%</td>
<td>-14.5%</td>
<td>-15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heating Oil</td>
<td>-32.0%</td>
<td>-50.0%</td>
<td>-50.0%</td>
<td>-47.6%</td>
<td>-12.4%</td>
<td>-13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>-5.3%</td>
<td>-27.5%</td>
<td>-27.5%</td>
<td>-50.3%</td>
<td>-29.6%</td>
<td>-26.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unleaded Gas</td>
<td>-59.9%</td>
<td>-68.1%</td>
<td>-68.1%</td>
<td>-63.3%</td>
<td>-27.1%</td>
<td>-20.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTI Crude Oil</td>
<td>-54.4%</td>
<td>-66.5%</td>
<td>-66.5%</td>
<td>-65.1%</td>
<td>-25.5%</td>
<td>-23.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bloomberg Sub Industrial Metals</strong></td>
<td>-9.7%</td>
<td>-18.5%</td>
<td>-18.5%</td>
<td>-22.5%</td>
<td>-5.5%</td>
<td>-3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>-10.6%</td>
<td>-16.8%</td>
<td>-16.8%</td>
<td>-22.3%</td>
<td>-8.9%</td>
<td>-5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>-12.2%</td>
<td>-20.3%</td>
<td>-20.3%</td>
<td>-23.5%</td>
<td>-6.2%</td>
<td>-4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>-6.4%</td>
<td>-18.4%</td>
<td>-18.4%</td>
<td>-10.8%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>-2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>-5.9%</td>
<td>-16.2%</td>
<td>-16.2%</td>
<td>-31.2%</td>
<td>-7.7%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bloomberg Sub Precious Metals</strong></td>
<td>-1.4%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>-13.9%</td>
<td>-21.1%</td>
<td>-21.1%</td>
<td>-7.6%</td>
<td>-9.2%</td>
<td>-4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bloomberg Sub Livestock</strong></td>
<td>-13.5%</td>
<td>-28.1%</td>
<td>-28.1%</td>
<td>-35.3%</td>
<td>-11.0%</td>
<td>-9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean Hogs</td>
<td>-19.9%</td>
<td>-36.8%</td>
<td>-36.8%</td>
<td>-51.9%</td>
<td>-20.4%</td>
<td>-15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live Cattle</td>
<td>-9.1%</td>
<td>-22.6%</td>
<td>-22.6%</td>
<td>-23.3%</td>
<td>-5.9%</td>
<td>-6.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Bloomberg, FactSet

Bloomberg subindex total return indices reflects the return of the underlying one month commodity futures price movements.
WTI Versus Brent Crude Spot Prices

Source: FactSet
STRATEGIC ASSET ALLOCATION POLICY HISTORY

- 7/1/75 – 12/31/79 – 40% S&P 500/60% Barclays Capital Aggregate
- 1/1/80 – 12/31/83 – 50% S&P 500/50% Barclays Capital Aggregate
- 1/1/84 – 12/31/91 – 60% S&P 500/40% Barclays Capital Aggregate
- 1/1/92 – 12/31/94 – 50% S&P 500/10% MSCI EAFE/40% Barclays Capital Aggregate
- 1/1/95 – 6/30/97 – 45% S&P 500/15% MSCI EAFE/40% Barclays Capital Aggregate
- 7/1/97 – 12/31/99 – 50% S&P 500/15% MSCI EAFE/35% Barclays CapitalAggregate
- 1/1/00 – 9/30/03 – 53% S&P 500/17% MSCI EAFE/30% Barclays Capital Aggregate
- 10/1/03 – 12/31/06 – 53% S&P 500/15% MSCI EAFE/ACWI ex-U.S./26% Barclays Capital Aggregate/6% NCREIF ODCE (lagged one quarter)
- 1/1/07 – 10/31/2009 – 31% S&P 500/7% S&P 400/7% S&P 600/18% MSCI ACWI ex-U.S./5% Russell 2000 (lagged one quarter)/26% Barclays Capital Aggregate/6% NCREIF ODCE (lagged one quarter)/3% Dow Jones/UBS Commodities Index
- 11/1/2009 – 6/30/2012 – 28% S&P 500/6% S&P 400/6% S&P 600/13% MSCI EAFE/2% MSCI EAFE Small Cap/3% MSCI Emerging Markets/7% Russell 2000 (lagged one quarter)/24% Barclays Capital Aggregate/2% Barclays Capital High Yield/6% NCREIF ODCE (lagged one quarter)/3% Dow Jones/UBS Commodities Index
- 7/1/2012 – 3/31/2015 – 23% S&P 500/5% S&P 400/5% S&P 600/14% MSCI EAFE/3% MSCI EAFE Small Cap/6% MSCI Emerging Markets/7% Russell 2000 (lagged one quarter)/13% Barclays Capital Aggregate/5% Barclays Capital High Yield/4% JP Morgan GBI-EM Global Diversified/3% S&P/LSTA Levered Loan Index + 250 basis points (lagged one quarter)/8% NCREIF ODCE (lagged one quarter)/4% Dow Jones/UBS Commodities Index
- 4/1/2015 – 3/31/2017 – 20% S&P 500/3% S&P 400/3% S&P 600/17% MSCI EAFE/2% MSCI EAFE Small Cap/5% MSCI Emerging Markets/8% Russell 2000 (lagged one quarter)/11% Barclays Capital Aggregate/4% Barclays Capital High Yield/10% S&P/LSTA Levered Loan Index + 250 basis points (lagged one quarter)/10% NCREIF ODCE (lagged one quarter)/2% Bloomberg Commodities Index TR/5% Multi-Asset Class Custom Index
- 4/1/2017 – 6/30/2018 – 20% S&P 500/3% S&P 400/3% S&P 600/17% MSCI EAFE/2% MSCI EAFE Small Cap/5% MSCI Emerging Markets/8% Russell 2000 (lagged one quarter)/11% Barclays Capital Aggregate/2% Barclays Capital High Yield/12% S&P/LSTA Levered Loan Index + 250 basis points (lagged one quarter)/10% NCREIF ODCE (lagged one quarter)/2% Bloomberg Commodities Index TR/5% Multi-Asset Class Custom Index
- 7/1/2018 – Present – 50% MSCI ACWI IMI Net w/ USA Gross, 20% NCREIF ODCE, 20% S&P/LSTA Levered Loan Index + 250 basis points (lagged one quarter), 10% Barclays US Capital Aggregate

*Interim SAA Policy: 54.5% MSCI ACWI IMI Net w/ USA Gross, 10.8% BBG Barclays US Aggregate Bond Index, 15.5% NCREIF ODCE, 19.2% S&P/LSTA Levered Loan Index + 250 basis points (lagged one quarter) 10% Barclays US Capital Aggregate

Note: *Interim SAA Policy includes proration of a total of Credit and Real Estate. Unfunded amounts were allocated 83.3% to Equity and 16.7% to Interest Sensitive Fixed Income. Recently approved Strategic Asset Allocation Policy effective July 1, 2018.

Note: All MSCI indices changed from Gross to Net dividend withholding taxes effective 1/1/2014.
LONG TERM DISABILITY STRATEGIC ASSET ALLOCATION POLICY HISTORY

- **1/1/2005 – 2/28/2007** - 53% Russell 3000/15% MSCI EAFE/26% Barclays Capital Aggregate Bond Index/6% DJ Wilshire Real Estate Securities Index
- **3/1/2007 – 12/31/2010** - 50% Russell 3000/18% MSCI EAFE/26% Barclays Capital Aggregate Bond Index/6% DJ Wilshire Real Estate Securities Index
- **1/1/2011 – 12/31/2012** - 40% Russell 1000/7% Russell 2000/13% MSCI EAFE/3% MSCI Emerging Markets/24% Barclays Capital Aggregate/2% Barclays Capital High Yield/6% DJ Wilshire Real Estate Securities Index/3% Bloomberg Commodity Index
- **1/1/2013 – 2/28/2016** - 34% Russell 1000/6% Russell 2000/14% MSCI EAFE/3% MSCI EAFE Small Cap/6% MSCI Emerging Markets/13% Barclays Capital Aggregate/8% Barclays Capital High Yield/4% JP Morgan GBI-EM Global Diversified/8% DJ Wilshire Real Estate Securities Index/4% Bloomberg Commodity Index
- **2/29/2016 – 7/26/2017** - 24% Russell 1000/12% Russell 2000/18% MSCI EAFE/2% MSCI EAFE Small Cap/5% MSCI Emerging Markets/19% Barclays Capital Aggregate/7% Barclays Capital High Yield/11% DJ Wilshire Real Estate Securities Index/2% Bloomberg Commodity Index
- **7/27/2017 – 6/30/2018** - 20% S&P 500/3% S&P 400/3% S&P 600/17% MSCI EAFE/2% MSCI EAFE Small Cap/5% MSCI Emerging Markets/8% Russell 2000 (lagged one quarter)/11% Barclays Capital Aggregate/2% Barclays Capital High Yield/12% S&P/LSTA Levered Loan Index + 250 basis points (lagged one quarter)/10% NCREIF ODCE (lagged one quarter)/2% Bloomberg Commodities Index TR/5% Multi-Asset Class Custom Index
- **7/1/2018 – Present** - 50% MSCI ACWI IMI Net w/ USA Gross, 20% NCREIF ODCE, 20% S&P/LSTA Levered Loan Index + 250 basis points (lagged one quarter), 10% Barclays US Capital Aggregate

*Interim SAA Policy: 54.5% MSCI ACWI IMI Net w/ USA Gross, 10.8% BBG Barclays US Aggregate Bond Index, 15.5% NCREIF ODCE, 19.2% S&P/LSTA Levered Loan Index + 250 basis points (lagged one quarter)

Note: All MSCI indices changed from Gross to Net of dividend withholding taxes effective 1/1/2014.
CUSTOM ASSET CLASS BENCHMARK HISTORY

- **ASRS Custom Total Public Equity Benchmark** was 77% S&P 500, 23% MSCI EAFE through 12/31/1999; 76% S&P 500, 24% MSCI EAFE through 9/30/2003; 78% S&P 500, 22% MSCI EAFE/ACWI ex-U.S.\(^1\) through 12/31/2006; 49% S&P 500, 11% S&P 400, 11% S&P 600, 29% MSCI ACWI ex-U.S. through 10/31/2009; 48% S&P 500, 10% S&P 400, 10% S&P 600, 23% MSCI EAFE, 4% MSCI EAFE Small Cap, 5% MSCI Emerging Markets through 6/30/2012; 41% S&P 500, 9% S&P 400, 9% S&P 600, 25% MSCI EAFE, 5% MSCI EAFE Small Cap, 11% MSCI Emerging Markets through 3/31/2015; 40% S&P 500, 6% S&P 400, 6% S&P 600, 34% MSCI EAFE, 4% MSCI EAFE Small Cap, 10% MSCI Emerging Markets through 6/30/2018; Asset weighted ACWI IMI w/USA Gross (Net) (public equity assets) and ACWI IMI w/USA Gross (Net) 1 qtr Lagged (private equity assets) thereafter.

- **ASRS Custom Domestic Equity Benchmark** was S&P 500 through 12/31/2006; 74% S&P 500, 13% S&P 400, 13% S&P 600 through 12/31/2010; 70% S&P 500, 15% S&P 400, 15% S&P 600 through 3/31/2015.; 77% S&P 500, 11.5% S&P 400, 11.5% S&P 600 through 6/30/2018; 100% MSCI USA IMI thereafter.

- **ASRS Custom Domestic Large Cap Equity Benchmark** was the S&P 500 Index through 6/30/2018; MSCI USA Large Cap Index thereafter.

- **ASRS Custom Domestic Mid Cap Equity Benchmark** was the S&P 400 Index through 6/30/2018; MSCI USA Mid Cap Index thereafter.

- **ASRS Custom Small Cap Equity Benchmark** was the Russell 2000 Index through 12/31/2006; S&P 600 Index through 6/30/2018; MSCI USA Small Cap Index thereafter.

- **ASRS Custom International Equity Benchmark** was MSCI EAFE through 9/30/2005; MSCI ACWI ex-U.S. through 12/31/2010; 72% MSCI EAFE, 11% MSCI EAFE Small Cap and 17% MSCI Emerging Markets through 6/30/2012; 61% MSCI EAFE, 13% MSCI EAFE Small Cap and 26% MSCI Emerging Markets through 3/31/2015; 71% MSCI EAFE, 8% MSCI EAFE Small Cap and 21% MSCI Emerging Markets through 6/30/2018; MSCI ACWI IMI ex USA thereafter.

- **ASRS Custom Private Equity Benchmark** was the Russell 2000 Index 1 quarter lagged from inception to 6/30/2018; MSCI ACWI IMI Net w/USA Gross 1 quarter lagged thereafter.

---

\(^1\)MSCI EAFE/ACWI ex-U.S. Benchmark is the MSCI EAFE Index prior to 10/1/2005 and the MSCI ACWI ex-U.S. thereafter.

Note: All MSCI indices changed from Gross to Net of dividend withholding taxes effective 1/1/2014.
Information Disclaimer

• Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

• All investments carry some level of risk. Diversification and other asset allocation techniques are not guaranteed to ensure profit or protect against losses.

• NEPC’s source for portfolio pricing, calculation of accruals, and transaction information is the plan’s custodian bank. Information on market indices and security characteristics is received from other sources external to NEPC. While NEPC has exercised reasonable professional care in preparing this report, we cannot guarantee the accuracy of all source information contained within.

• Some index returns displayed in this report or used in calculation of a policy, allocation or custom benchmark may be preliminary and subject to change.

• This report is provided as a management aid for the client’s internal use only. Information contained in this report does not constitute a recommendation by NEPC.

• This report may contain confidential or proprietary information and may not be copied or redistributed to any party not legally entitled to receive it.

Reporting Methodology

• The client’s custodian bank is NEPC’s preferred data source unless otherwise directed. NEPC generally reconciles custodian data to manager data. If the custodian cannot provide accurate data, manager data may be used.

• Trailing time period returns are determined by geometrically linking the holding period returns, from the first full month after inception to the report date. Rates of return are annualized when the time period is longer than a year. Performance is presented gross and/or net of manager fees as indicated on each page.

• For managers funded in the middle of a month, the “since inception” return will start with the first full month, although actual inception dates and cash flows are taken into account in all Composite calculations.

• This report may contain forward-looking statements that are based on NEPC’s estimates, opinions and beliefs, but NEPC cannot guarantee that any plan will achieve its targeted return or meet other goals.
• Objective #4: Ensure sufficient monies are available to meet pension benefits, health insurance, member refunds, administrative payments, and other cash flow requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>External CFs</th>
<th>Last day of the Month Ending Balance*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mar - 19</td>
<td>($86.1)</td>
<td>$453.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr – 19</td>
<td>($105.8)</td>
<td>$368.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May – 19</td>
<td>($52.9)</td>
<td>$175.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun - 19</td>
<td>($97.3)</td>
<td>$368.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul – 19</td>
<td>($163.9)</td>
<td>$465.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug – 19</td>
<td>($108.9)</td>
<td>$467.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep - 19</td>
<td>($108.2)</td>
<td>$365.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct – 19</td>
<td>($87.3)</td>
<td>$676.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov – 19</td>
<td>($96.1)</td>
<td>$404.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec - 19</td>
<td>($75.4)</td>
<td>$521.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan – 20</td>
<td>($63.6)</td>
<td>$412.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb – 20</td>
<td>($107.4)</td>
<td>$376.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar - 20</td>
<td>($95.8)</td>
<td>$730.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes assetized & unassetized cash balances (Inception of 1/26/15); represents monies to be used for funding needs that occur in subsequent month(s). Generally, monthly pension payments occur on the first day of month.

Goal Met: Yes
Agenda Item
#7
Agenda

• Country Diversification
• Asset Class Diversification
• Exposure Analysis
• Asset Class Correlation
• Asset Class Risk Contribution
• Total Portfolio Value-At-Risk (VAR)
• Stress Test and Tail Risk Summary
ASRS ASSETS ARE DIVERSIFIED ACROSS REGIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Contribution to Total Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>82.59%</td>
<td>81.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe DM</td>
<td>8.25%</td>
<td>8.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe EM</td>
<td>0.22%</td>
<td>0.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia EM</td>
<td>3.13%</td>
<td>3.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia DM</td>
<td>4.11%</td>
<td>3.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>0.30%</td>
<td>0.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>0.19%</td>
<td>0.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>0.42%</td>
<td>0.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>0.77%</td>
<td>1.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ASRS ASSETS AND RISK SOURCES ARE DIVERSIFIED ACROSS DIFFERENT ASSET CLASSES
# VARIOUS EXPOSURE ANALYSIS VS. THE SAA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Type</th>
<th>Weight of Total Plan (%)</th>
<th>Weight of SAA (%)</th>
<th>Active Weight (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>50.76%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>0.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit</td>
<td>19.42%</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>-0.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>17.06%</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>-2.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Rate Sensitive</td>
<td>9.64%</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>-0.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assetized Cash</td>
<td>3.11%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>3.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Weight of Total Plan (%)</th>
<th>Weight of SAA (%)</th>
<th>Active Weight (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>82.59%</td>
<td>81.23%</td>
<td>1.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe DM</td>
<td>8.25%</td>
<td>10.20%</td>
<td>-1.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia DM</td>
<td>4.89%</td>
<td>5.38%</td>
<td>-0.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia EM</td>
<td>3.13%</td>
<td>2.24%</td>
<td>2.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>0.42%</td>
<td>0.38%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>0.30%</td>
<td>0.22%</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe EM</td>
<td>0.22%</td>
<td>0.18%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>0.19%</td>
<td>0.13%</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>Weight of Total Plan (%)</th>
<th>Weight of SAA (%)</th>
<th>Active Weight (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>4.06%</td>
<td>3.84%</td>
<td>0.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPY</td>
<td>3.21%</td>
<td>3.47%</td>
<td>-0.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBP</td>
<td>1.80%</td>
<td>1.97%</td>
<td>-0.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKD</td>
<td>1.55%</td>
<td>1.26%</td>
<td>0.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>1.28%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>1.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>1.10%</td>
<td>1.30%</td>
<td>-0.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUD</td>
<td>0.76%</td>
<td>0.85%</td>
<td>-0.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWD</td>
<td>0.63%</td>
<td>0.44%</td>
<td>0.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRW</td>
<td>0.57%</td>
<td>0.40%</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR</td>
<td>0.41%</td>
<td>0.29%</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEK</td>
<td>0.40%</td>
<td>0.42%</td>
<td>-0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DKK</td>
<td>0.26%</td>
<td>0.29%</td>
<td>-0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRL</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNY</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGD</td>
<td>0.19%</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXPOSURE ANALYSIS: ASSET CLASS COMPARISON
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EXPOSURE ANALYSIS: AMERICA VS. OTHERS
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Exposure Analysis: Foreign Currency
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**CORRELATION REPORT OF THE MAJOR ASSET CLASSES**

ASRS Assets are fairly diversified across different asset classes. While Fixed Income investments are a major source of portfolio diversification, private assets also add meaningful diversification benefits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Portfolio</th>
<th>US Equity</th>
<th>Non US Equity</th>
<th>Private Equity node</th>
<th>Interest Rate Sensitive</th>
<th>Private Debt US Corporate</th>
<th>High Yield Composite</th>
<th>Emerging Market Debt</th>
<th>Real Estate</th>
<th>Assetized Cash</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US Equity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non US Equity</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Rate Sensitive</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Debt US Corporate</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Yield</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Market Debt</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assetized Cash</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Equities continue to account for more than 80% of the overall portfolio risk.
Total Portfolio Value-At-Risk (VAR)

As of April 30th, 2020 Total VaR for ASRS Portfolio was 28.02%, indicating that there is a 5% chance that portfolio could lose ~$10.84B in a given year. Note that a 5% event is expected to occur every 20 years.
Stress Test and Tail Risk Summary

Stress Tests
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VaR and Tail Risk Analysis

- VaR 99 (Historical)
- Expected Tail Loss 95
- VaR 95 (Historical)
- VaR 95 (normal dist)
- Stand Deviation

SAA Total Portfolio

MSCI
• Diversification: shows the diversifying effect of covariance on portfolio risk. For example, a diversification figure of 60% means that you are diversifying away 60% of portfolio risk. A higher number thus indicates greater diversification arising from covariance.

• Standard Deviation: distribution of asset’s return, expressed in percent. We forecast volatility using normal distribution with two-third probability in Barra’s multiple factor model (BIM). The total risk for an asset depends on the asset’s exposures to the risk factors, the factor variance/covariance matrix, and the forecast selection risk of the asset.

• Parametric VaR Confidence Level: 95%

• Historical VaR & Expected Tail Loss Settings:
  • Holding Period: 252 days (1 year)
  • Decay Factor: 0.94
  • Look Back Period: trailing 10 years
  • Decay Factor: this parameter means that older observations are given less weight than more recent ones. Its magnitude determines how fast the weight on the observations used in the simulation decays over the historical period. BarraOne’s decay factor default is 0.94.
ABOUT MSCI

For more than 40 years, MSCI’s research-based indexes and analytics have helped the world’s leading investors build and manage better portfolios. Clients rely on our offerings for deeper insights into the drivers of performance and risk in their portfolios, broad asset class coverage and innovative research.

Our line of products and services includes indexes, analytical models, data, real estate benchmarks and ESG research.

MSCI serves 97 of the top 100 largest money managers, according to the most recent P&I ranking.

For more information, visit us at www.msci.com.
Notice & disclaimer

This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, charts (collectively, the “Information”) is the property of MSCI Inc. or its subsidiaries (collectively, “MSCI”), or MSCI’s licensors, direct or indirect suppliers or any third party involved in making or compiling any Information (collectively, MSCI, the “Information Providers”) and is provided for informational purposes only. The Information may not be modified, reverse-engineered, reproduced or redistributed in whole or in part without prior written permission from MSCI.

The Information may not be used to create derivative works or to verify or correct other data or information. For example (but without limitation), the Information may not be used to create indexes, databases, risk models, analytics, software, or in connection with the issuing, offering, sponsoring, managing or marketing of any securities, portfolios, financial products or other investment vehicles utilizing or based on, linked to, tracking or otherwise derived from the Information or any other MSCI data, information, products or services.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information. The Information PROVIDERS MAKES ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION (OR THE RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE USE THEREOF), AND TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, EACH INFORMATION PROVIDER EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, in no event shall any Information Provider have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits) or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not by applicable law be excluded or limited, including without limitation (as applicable), any liability for death or personal injury to the extent that such injury results from the negligence or willful default of itself, its servants, agents or sub-contractors.

Information containing any historical information, data or analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance, analysis, forecast or prediction. Past performance does not guarantee future results. The Information should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. All Information is impersonal and not tailored to the needs of any person, entity or group of persons.

None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), any security, financial product or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy. It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Exposure to an asset class or trading strategy or other category represented by an index is only available through third party investable instruments (if any) based on that index. MSCI does not sponsor, endorse, market, offer, review or otherwise express any opinion regarding any fund, ETF, derivative or other security, investment, financial product or trading strategy that is based on, linked to or seeks to provide an investment return related to the performance of any MSCI index (collectively, “Index Linked Investments”). MSCI makes no assurance that any Index Linked Investments will accurately track index performance or provide positive investment returns. MSCI Inc. is not an investment adviser or fiduciary and MSCI makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in any Index Linked Investments.

Index returns do not represent the results of actual trading of investable assets/securities. MSCI maintains and calculates indexes, but does not manage actual assets. Index returns do not reflect payment of any sales charges or fees an investor may pay to purchase the securities underlying the index or Index Linked Investments. The imposition of these fees and charges would cause the performance of an Index Linked Investment to be different than the MSCI index performance.

The Information may contain back tested data. Back-tested performance is not actual performance, but is hypothetical. There are frequently material differences between back tested performance results and actual results subsequently achieved by any investment strategy. Constituents of MSCI equity indexes are listed companies, which are included in or excluded from the indexes according to the application of the relevant index methodologies. Accordingly, constituents in MSCI equity indexes may change from time to time. Data and information produced by various affiliates of MSCI Inc., including MSCI ESG Research LLC and Barra LLC, may be used in calculating certain MSCI indexes. More information can be found in the relevant index methodologies on www.msci.com.

MSCI receives compensation in connection with licensing its indexes to third parties. MSCI Inc.’s revenue includes fees based on assets in Index Linked Investments. Information can be found in MSCI Inc.’s company filings on the Investor Relations section of www.msci.com.

MSCI ESG Research LLC is a Registered Investment Adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and a subsidiary of MSCI Inc. Except with respect to any applicable products or services from MSCI ESG Research, neither MSCI nor any of its products or services recommends, endorses, approves or otherwise expresses any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products or instruments or trading strategies and MSCI’s products or services are not intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. Issuers mentioned or included in any MSCI ESG Research materials may include MSCI Inc., clients of MSCI or suppliers to MSCI, and may also purchase research or other products or services from MSCI ESG Research. MSCI ESG Research materials, including materials utilized in any MSCI ESG Indexes or other products, have not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body.

Any use of or access to products, services or information of MSCI requires a license from MSCI. MSCI, Barra, RiskMetrics, IPD and other MSCI brands and product names are the trademarks, service marks, or registered trademarks of MSCI or its subsidiaries in the United States and other jurisdictions. The Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) was developed by and is the exclusive property of MSCI and Standard & Poor’s. “Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS)” is a service mark of MSCI and Standard & Poor’s.

MIFID2/MIFIR notice: MSCI ESG Research LLC does not distribute or act as an intermediary for financial instruments or structured deposits, nor does it deal on its own account, provide execution services for others or manage client accounts. No MSCI ESG Research product or service supports, promotes or is intended to support or promote any such activity. MSCI ESG Research is an independent provider of ESG data, reports and ratings based on published methodologies and available to clients on a subscription basis. We do not provide custom or one-off ratings or recommendations of securities or other financial instruments upon request.

Privacy notice: For information about how MSCI ESG Research LLC collects and uses personal data concerning officers and directors, please refer to our Privacy Notice at https://www.msci.com/privacy-pledge.
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The Investment Management Division regularly monitors and reviews compliance through several means:

- **Public Portfolios:**
  - Pre-Trade Compliance
  - Post-Trade Compliance

- **Private Markets:**
  - Independent consultant reviews on Commingled Private Equity and Real Estate Funds
  - Independent consultant reviews on Separate Accounts in Credit and Real Estate

- **Total Fund:**
  - Statutory Compliance
  - Restricted Country tests

No material instances of non-compliance were noted during the periods reported on in this report.
For the internally managed portfolios, the Investment Management Division (IMD) utilizes Bloomberg’s Trade Order Management System (OMS) for executing and processing trades.

The OMS platform runs pre-trade compliance rules to ensure trades will not violate established portfolio guidelines.

Compliance rules are based on the investment guidelines:
- Approved Investment Strategy Papers
- Applicable Arizona Revised Statutes
Pre-Trade Summary Results

- Period reported on: February - April 2020
- Portfolios monitored: Internally managed fixed income and equity portfolios
- Exceptions: No exceptions occurred during the period under review
State Street provides daily and monthly compliance monitoring at the individual portfolio level, for all the internal and external investment portfolios on a post-trade basis.

Daily monitoring allows for closer insight into the managers’ activity.

The investment compliance rules are based on guidelines from:

- Letters of Direction & Clarification (LODs) for the externally managed portfolios
- Investment Strategy Papers for the internally managed portfolios
- Applicable Arizona Revised Statutes
Post-Trade Summary Results

- Period reported on: February - April 2020
- Portfolios monitored: All public markets portfolios
- Public Markets Investment Limits Exceptions:
  - Five public markets portfolios for the period February - April 2020 (equities, fixed income) were reviewed
  - State Street’s review found a few findings resulting from index changes, corporate actions, and informational flags
- All findings were researched and resolved to both State Street and ASRS’s satisfaction, for all periods
Reviews perform the following steps:

- Review and note if financial statements are prepared on a fair value basis noting whether liabilities are marked to market.
- Review the calculation of ASRS Net Asset Value (i.e. the waterfall calculation) and comment to the manager and ASRS if any errors are noted.
- Review the management fee calculation, including review of the Net Operation Income (NOI) calculation to determine if it has been calculated correctly and if appropriate reserves have been deducted, then comment to the manager and ASRS if any errors are noted.
- Work with the manager and ASRS as necessary to address concerns and questions.
- Review audited financial statements at a high level for significant issues.
- Review valuation policies for consistency with ASRS policies, operating agreements and standards.
- Review expense policies for consistency with ASRS policies, operating agreements, and standards.
The current consultant is currently working on the compliance reviews for the 2018 review period.
Review managers’ calculations of:
- Asset management fees
- Partnership expenses
- Carried interest

Review investment valuation policy
Ensure calculation and policy agree to investment documentation, agreements, and manager policies
If a deficiency is noted, the consultant will address with the sponsor and work to resolve deficiencies
All results are communicated to ASRS
A summary report will be presented to the ASRS to communicate findings on an annual basis
The current consultant is currently working on the compliance reviews for the 2018 review period.
State Street Compliance Group provides compliance reporting and monitoring at the Total Fund level, known as the “Monthly Statute Tests Compliance” for:

Five mandated Arizona Revised Statutes on investment limits, under §A.R.S. 38-718.H-L

1. Max 80% Equity Test (§A.R.S. 38-718.H)
3. Max 60% Internally Managed Assets Test (§A.R.S. 38-718.J)
4. Max 5% Issuer Test (§A.R.S. 38-718.K)
5. Max 10% Debt of Multinational Development Banks Test (§ A.R.S. 38-718.L)

Arizona Restricted Country Test

The test is setup to look at the Fund’s investment’s country of incorporation to identify companies that do business in or with countries currently designated by the United States Department of State as State Sponsors of Terrorism, pursuant to §A.R.S. 35-392, as added by Laws 2007, Chapter 201

Per statute requirements, the results are measured using market value
Period reported on: February - April 2020

Total Fund Monthly Statutory Investment Limits:

- Total Fund investments passed the five mandated statutes on investment limits, as well as the Arizona Restricted Country Test for all periods.
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Investment Beliefs

FRAME OF REFERENCE
The following Investment Beliefs have been established to ensure the development of congruent and synergistic investment strategies, and to ensure the effective and efficient allocation of resources. These Investment Beliefs determine the general paradigm within which investment strategies are developed, investment ideas are reviewed, and investment decisions are implemented.

Modifications to these Investment Beliefs will occur if experiential, academic, conceptual, and/or practical perspectives suggest that a superior belief system exists.

INVESTMENT BELIEFS

1. Asset Class Decisions are Key
   In general, decisions with respect to which asset classes and sub-asset classes to invest in, and the allocations to these asset classes and sub-asset classes, have a greater impact on total fund investment returns than decisions in which specific securities to invest.

2. Theories and Concepts Must be Sound
   Over longer periods of time, investment outcomes (e.g. rates of return, volatility) conform to logical theories and concepts. Significant deviations (e.g. internet bubble, pre-subprime erosion of risk premiums) from theoretically and conceptually sound investment constructs are usually not sustainable and are typically self-reverting.

3. House Capital Market Views Are Imperative
   The development and articulation of sound House Views (e.g. views on interest rates, corporate spreads, asset valuations) will ensure consistency among investment decisions, clarity of investment direction, baselines for debates, and conformity of understanding.

4. Investment Strategies Must be Forward Looking
   Investment strategies will be developed based on forward-looking insights, rather than simply on successful strategies of the past.
   Asset class valuations and security valuations are significantly affected by endogenous outcomes (e.g. earnings, GDP growth rates, competitive barriers) that are probabilistic, and these outcomes are typically well analyzed by the investment industry.
   Asset class valuations and security valuations are also significantly affected by random outcomes (e.g. natural disasters, certain supply & demand shocks) that are virtually unpredictable, and these outcomes are typically not analyzed directly by the investment industry.
   Asset class valuations and security valuations are also significantly affected by exogenous outcomes (e.g. foreign policies, global cultural interactions) that can possibly be modeled, and these outcomes are typically not analyzed by the investment industry.

5. Public Markets are Generally Informationally Efficient
   Asset Class Valuations
   Asset class valuations (e.g. stock market levels versus interest rate levels) are often in equilibrium with one another, but anomalous situations do occur which result in disequilibria between asset class valuations. These disequilibria offer valuable investment opportunities which we will pro-actively seek and capitalize on.
Security Valuations

Security valuations (e.g. IBM versus Cisco) are often in equilibrium with one another, but private markets and anomalous public market situations do occur which result in disequilibria between security valuations. These disequilibria offer valuable investment opportunities which we will pro-actively seek and capitalize on.

The extent of informational efficiency varies across asset classes.

Private markets offer significant opportunities for asset mispricing and manager excellence which we will pro-actively seek and capitalize on.

6. Market Frictions are Highly Relevant

Market frictions (e.g. management fees, carried interest, revenue sharing, expenses, costs, transaction spreads, market impacts, taxes, commissions) can be significantly detrimental to investment performance and as a result transactions will be initiated only to the extent there is a strong level of conviction that they will result in increased investment returns or decreased risks net of all market frictions.

7. Internal Investment Professionals are the Foundation of a Successful Investment Program

In-house investment management capability engaged in direct portfolio management results in superior investment decision-making.

In-house investment management pro-actively monitors capital markets in order to determine mispricing opportunities & allocate capital and will successfully increase risk adjusted returns.

In-house investment professionals are more closely aligned with, and have a better understanding of, the purpose and risk & reward tolerance of the ASRS than external parties.

In-house investment professionals will impact direct investment negotiations, better align economic interests, and influence investment industry conditions (e.g. private deal structures, fee levels, introduction of innovative products & strategies).

8. External Investment Management is Beneficial

External investment organizations can often offer greater expertise, resources, and/or flexibility than internal personnel for various investment strategies.

9. Investment Consultants

Investment consultants will be effectively utilized in the following four general categories, and utilization of consultants will be focused on situations where there is a demonstrable need in at least one of the four areas:

- Independence: When oversight or controls should be enhanced
- Perspective: When internal perspectives are not broad enough
- Special Skills: When internal skills are not deep enough
- Resource Allocation: When internal resources are not broad enough

10. Trustee Expertise

Trustees often have expertise in various areas of investment management, and this expertise should be utilized while ensuring separation between Board oversight and staff management.